**ABSTRACT** A powerful contributor to prokaryotic evolution is horizontal gene transfer (HGT) through transformation, conjugation, and transduction, which can be advantageous, neutral, or detrimental to fitness. Bacteria and archaea control HGT and phage infection through CRISPR-Cas (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats–CRISPR-associated proteins) adaptive immunity. Although the benefits of resisting phage infection are evident, this can come at a cost of inhibiting the acquisition of other beneficial genes through HGT. Despite the ability of CRISPR-Cas to limit HGT through conjugation and transformation, its role in transduction is largely overlooked. Transduction is the phage-mediated transfer of bacterial DNA between cells and arguably has the greatest impact on HGT. We demonstrate that in *Pectobacterium atrosepticum*, CRISPR-Cas can inhibit the transduction of plasmids and chromosomal loci. In addition, we detected phage-mediated transfer of a large plant pathogenicity genomic island and show that CRISPR-Cas can inhibit its transduction. Despite these inhibitory effects of CRISPR-Cas on transduction, its more common role in phage resistance promotes rather than diminishes HGT. By limiting wild-type phage replication, CRISPR-Cas immunity increases transduction in both phage-resistant and -sensitive members of mixed populations. CRISPR-Cas systems themselves display evidence of HGT, but little is known about their lateral dissemination between bacteria and whether transduction can contribute. We show that, through transduction, bacteria can acquire an entire chromosomal CRISPR-Cas system, including *cas* genes and phage-targeting spacers. We propose that the positive effect of CRISPR-Cas phage immunity on enhancing transduction surpasses the rarer cases where gene flow by transduction is restricted.

**IMPORTANCE** The generation of genetic diversity through acquisition of DNA is a powerful contributor to microbial evolution and occurs through translocation, conjuration, and transduction. Of these, transduction, the phage-mediated transfer of bacterial DNA, is arguably the major route for genetic exchange. CRISPR-Cas adaptive immune systems control gene transfer by conjuration and transformation, but transduction has been mostly overlooked. Our results indicate that CRISPR-Cas can impede, but typically enhances the transduction of plasmids, chromosomal genes, and pathogenicity islands. By limiting wild-type phage replication, CRISPR-Cas immunity increases transduction in both phage-resistant and -sensitive members of mixed populations. Furthermore, we demonstrate mobilization of a chromosomal CRISPR-Cas system containing phage-targeting spacers by generalized transduction, which might partly account for the uneven distribution of these systems in nature. Overall, the ability of CRISPR-Cas to promote transduction reveals an unexpected impact of adaptive immunity on horizontal gene transfer, with broader implications for microbial evolution.
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CRISPR-Cas (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats–CRISPR-associated proteins) systems confer adaptive immunity in prokaryotes. These systems are composed of CRISPR arrays, consisting of short repeats separated by spacer sequences derived from invading nucleic acids, and the CRISPR-associated (cas) genes. CRISPR-Cas systems function in three main stages: acquisition (or adaptation), where new invader-derived (e.g., plasmid or phage) spacers are incorporated into the CRISPR array; expression, when the CRISPR array is expressed and processed into short crRNAs (CRISPR RNAs); and, finally, interference, whereby Cas-crRNA ribonucleoprotein complexes bind and degrade complementary foreign nucleic acids (for reviews, see references 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). Since the demonstration of an antiviral role for CRISPR-Cas in 2007 (6), much has been revealed of the exquisite mechanism of these systems (3), leading to their exploitation in various applications (7).

In addition to the role of CRISPR-Cas systems in phage resistance, they inhibit conjugation and transformation, thereby limiting HGT (8, 9). Because HGT significantly influences bacterial evolution, most visibly through the dissemination of antibiotic resistance and virulence determinants (10–14), the ability of CRISPR-Cas to impede the acquisition of mobile genetic elements has been considered an evolutionary downside (15). However, the acquisition or maintenance of other genetic elements can have associated costs (16, 17), and, in such cases, CRISPR-Cas immunity would be beneficial (18). Therefore, the influence of CRISPR-Cas on HGT has remained a matter of debate. Recently, it was shown that there is no detectable influence of CRISPR-Cas on HGT over evolutionary timescales (19), suggesting that the inhibitory effects of bacterial adaptive immunity on HGT are somehow balanced.

Of the three major forms of HGT, transduction is likely to be the most influential globally. However, the impact of CRISPR-Cas on transduction has been mostly overlooked, with the exception of a single study (20). Transduction involves the phage-mediated transfer of nonviral genes and is classified as either specialized or generalized (21, 22). Temperate phages cause specialized transduction when the prophage excises imprecisely from the host chromosome and accidentally packages bacterial genes flanking the integration site, which can be transferred, upon infection of a new host. Since specialized transduction mobilizes only sequences adjacent to the prophage site, its contribution to HGT is limited. In contrast, generalized transduction occurs when either virulent or temperate phages make errors upon DNA packaging by mistakenly incorporating only bacterial DNA (either chromosomal or plasmid) (11, 13, 21). This results in viral populations of predominantly infectious phages and rare subpopulations of transducing particles. Upon host cell binding, transducing particles inject bacterial DNA, which is degraded or recombined with the bacterial genome. Since the generalized transducing particle contains no phage DNA, viral progeny are not produced, but the more abundant neighboring infectious phages can proceed through normal propagation (21).

The host range of transducing phages can be broad, infecting different bacterial classes (10, 23), and in natural environments, transduction occurs between diverse bacteria and ecosystems (24–26). Transduction occurs in about one in 10⁷ to 10⁹ infections (13), although single-cell studies suggest a higher frequency (27). Given the abundance of phages and the high rate of bacterial infection (≥10²⁶ phages on Earth and ~10²⁸ infections/s) (14), generalized transduction is frequent and widespread and has a profound impact on genetic exchange in prokaryotes (>10¹⁶ gene transfer events/s) (13, 28). In this study, we addressed the effects of CRISPR-Cas on HGT of plasmids, chromosomal loci, and a pathogenicity island resulting from generalized transduction and show that the source of the spacer, targeting either phage or transduced DNA, determines whether transduction is enhanced or inhibited. Since spacers are more commonly acquired from phages, we propose that the dominant effect of CRISPR-Cas on transduction is enhancement. We show that strains with spacers targeting phages provide viral protection at the population level, enhancing transduction of both phage-sensitive and phage-resistant bacteria. Finally, generalized transduction also enabled the dissemination of the CRISPR-Cas systems themselves.
CRISPR-Cas interferes with transduction of plasmids and chromosomal genes. *Pectobacterium atrosepticum* contains a type I-F CRISPR-Cas system with three CRISPR arrays (CRISPR1 to CRISPR3) that naturally acquire spacers under physiological conditions (29). We previously isolated strains that had acquired spacers that inhibited plasmid uptake by conjugation and transformation (30). To investigate whether the type I system of *P. atrosepticum* inhibited generalized transduction of plasmids, we used generalized transducing phage \( \varphi \)TE, which is a member of the *Myoviridae* (31, 32). Phage \( \varphi \)TE was grown on *P. atrosepticum* cells containing either a control vector (Non-targeted) or a vector with a copy of the *eca0560* gene (Targeted). Phages were transduced into strains with either no spacers targeting *eca0560* (0; strain ΔHAI2) or one spacer targeting the *eca0560* gene in either CRISPR1 (1C1; strain PIM06) or CRISPR2 (1C2; strain PIM17). For additional data from controls, see Fig. S1. (D) Map of the *P. atrosepticum* genome, indicating the locations of 6 Km\(^{\text{r}}\)-marked chromosomal loci and of the secondary Cmr marker in the cas operon in the six strains (strains PCF83 to PCF88). (E and F) The transducing phage, \( \varphi \)TE, was grown on these six strains and used to transduce each Km\(^{\text{r}}\) marker (E) and Cmr marker (F) into strains either lacking spacers targeting the Km\(^{\text{r}}\) gene (0; strain ΔHAI2) or with one (1; strain PIM18) or three (3; strain PIM28) spacers in CRISPR1 targeting the Km\(^{\text{r}}\) gene. Data are shown as the mean number of transductants/PFU ± standard deviation (SD) (n = 3). The dashed line in each panel represents the limit of detection (one transductant across the replicates). Statistical significance was calculated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test, comparing strains with targeting spacers to the control with no targeting spacers (**, \( P \leq 0.01 \); ***, \( P \leq 0.001 \); ****, \( P \leq 0.0001 \)). Additional data from the controls are shown in Fig. S1 and S2.
control was unaffected (Fig. 1B and C; further data from controls are shown in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). These experiments show the potential of CRISPR-Cas to inhibit generalized transduction and support an earlier study using the type III CRISPR-Cas system of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to prevent transduction of plasmids that were engineered to contain phage sequence (20).

Next, we examined whether CRISPR-Cas inhibits generalized transduction of chromosomal loci. We used six strains marked with kanamycin resistance (Kmr) at different chromosomal locations and a secondary (chloramphenicol resistance [Cmr]) marker disrupting the *cas* operon (Fig. 1D). The donor *cas* mutation excluded potential CRISPR-Cas effects prior to transduction and provided an additional phenotype to differentiate transductants from donors. ϕTE transduced all six loci with similar levels of efficiency (~10^{-8} transductants/PU) into the wild-type *P. atrosepticum* (see control data in Fig. 1E; see also Fig. S2). When recipients contained either one or three spacers targeting the Kmr gene in each of the six chromosomal locations, no transductants were detected (Fig. 1E). This effect was transduction specific, because ϕTE infected all strains with equal levels of efficiency (Fig. S2A and B). The inhibition was sequence specific, because transfer of the untargeted Cmr marker was unaffected (Fig. 1F). One exception was observed when the Cmr marker was located close (~17 kb) to one targeted locus, showing CRISPR-Cas-dependent interference with linked cotransduced genes (Fig. 1F; *eca3672*)—potentially due to the processive nuclease-helicase activity of the Cas2-3 fusion protein (33). Therefore, CRISPR-Cas interfered in a sequence-specific manner with the transduction of plasmids and chromosomal loci and cotransduced genetically linked DNA.

**CRISPR-Cas can inhibit pathogenicity island transduction.** Genomic islands often carry genes important for fitness, including those encoding antibiotic resistance and virulence determinants (17). *P. atrosepticum* contains an ~98-kb island (HAI2) encoding a polyketide phytotoxin important for virulence *in planta* (34). HAI2, a member of the integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs), excises from the chromosome and circularizes (35) (Fig. 2A), but no mechanism of transfer has been demonstrated. Because ϕTE is ~142 kb, it theoretically could package the complete ~98-kb HAI2 island. Indeed, ϕTE mediated the transfer of HAI2 from donors marked in three different island loci (*eca0573*, *eca0610*, and *eca0614*; Kmr) to both the wild-type recipients and the islandless recipients (Fig. 2A and B; see also Fig. S3). Analysis of the integration sites and other unique loci revealed that the entire HAI2 island was transferred and recombined precisely into the recipients (Fig. 2D; see also Fig. S3). We predicted that CRISPR-Cas could inhibit transduction of genomic islands. In agreement, HAI2 was not transferred into strains with either a spacer targeting an island gene (*eca0560*) or two spacers targeting a Kmr marker elsewhere on the island (Fig. 2C). ϕTE infected all recipients equally (Fig. S3), showing that the inhibition was a CRISPR-specific transduction effect. We recently showed that populations of *P. atrosepticum* can acquire spacers targeting HAI2 (29), which can trigger complete island loss or mosaicism (36). Here, we now demonstrate that such spacers targeting the island can then impede the reintroduction of the HAI2 pathogenicity island when it is disseminated by ϕTE-mediated transduction.

**Phage resistance conferred by CRISPR-Cas enhances transduction.** We have shown that spacers targeting transduced DNA enable CRISPR-Cas to limit the transduction of plasmids, chromosomal genes, and genomic islands. Despite using sensitive nested-PCR techniques, we could not detect spacer acquisition from transduced DNA (Fig. S4), which was unsurprising since only ~10^{-8} cells underwent transduction and since adaptation in *P. atrosepticum* is rare under laboratory conditions (29). Although the level of adaptation was below our detection limit, the global estimate of 10^{25} phage infections/s indicates that acquisition undoubtedly occurs during transduction. Previously, spacers were detected in archaeal genomes that matched nonmobile chromosomal regions of other archaea (37) and it was proposed that these might be derived from transduction (37). However, it is possible that these spacers were acquired.
through other routes (29, 38). Irrespective of their source, we have shown that spacers matching transduced DNA inhibit transduction.

Because spacers matching phage sequences are more commonly observed than spacers matching chromosomal sequences (39), and since transduction efficiencies are low (Fig. 1 and 2), we estimated that spacer acquisition from phages would occur at rates substantially (~10^6-fold to 10^9-fold) higher than from transduced DNA. In addition, in nature, acquisition of phage-targeting spacers imposes a strong selective advantage compared with acquisition of spacers from transduced DNA. Because transducing particles typically represent a rare subpopulation of wild-type phages, new transducing bacteria are at risk of subsequent phage infection—reducing the establishment and maintenance of horizontally transferred genes. Since phage-derived spacers protect bacteria from infection (6), we hypothesized that the canonical role of CRISPR-Cas in phage resistance would enhance HGT by increasing the survival of transductants. To test this hypothesis, we isolated \( P. \) \textit{atrosepticum} strains that had acquired spacers targeting two different phages, \( \text{H9272 TE} \) and \( \text{H9272 M1} \) (an ~44-kb generalized transducing Podoviridae unrelated to \( \text{H9272 TE} \) [32, 40]). Experiments performed with strains with one or three phage-targeting spacers resulted in an up to ~10^4-fold reduction in phage infectivity (Fig. 3A and B). In support of our hypothesis, when plasmids or chromosomal loci were transduced into the \( \text{H9272 TE} \)-resistant strains, the abundance of transductants was ~5-fold or ~10-fold higher, respectively, than that of the phage-sensitive control strain (Fig. 3C and E). Moreover, an even greater elevation in transduction was observed for \( \text{H9272 M1} \), with >15-fold and >60-fold increases in plasmid and chromosomal transfer,
respectively (Fig. 3D and F). Therefore, by eliciting phage resistance, CRISPR-Cas can enhance the maintenance of genes transferred by transduction by two distinct phages.

One explanation for enhanced transduction of CRISPR phage-resistant strains (abbreviated as /H11033 anti-/H9272 /H11033) could be their ability to reduce the effects of lytic wild-type phages by decreasing their abundance. Therefore, we measured /H9272 TE- and /H9272 M1-mediated transduction of phage-sensitive (WT) and anti-/H9272 populations and quantified viral abundance (Fig. 4A and B). The increased transduction in the anti-/H9272 strain was accompanied by a 1,000-fold reduction in phage titer relative to the phage-sensitive WT strain (Fig. 4A and B; compare 100% WT [white] with 100% anti-/H9272 [dark green/red]).

We reasoned that the reduced phage epidemic protected the anti-/H9272 transductants from further viral infection. Therefore, we predicted that, by reducing subsequent phage bursts, CRISPR-Cas could increase transduction of phage-sensitive bacteria within populations containing anti-/H9272 members. To test this, anti-/H9272 bacteria were cocultured with the phage-sensitive WT in different proportions (WT/anti-/H9272 ratios, 100:0, 90:10, 50:50, 10:90, and 0:100) and cells were exposed to transducing phages for a round of phage infection. In agreement with our prediction, the overall number of transductants rose as the anti-/H9272 proportion increased (Fig. 4A).

It was possible that the elevated transduction was due to increased numbers of resulting anti-/H9272 transductants, due to their higher initial levels. However, in these cocultures, the levels of enhancement of transduction were similar for the two strains (i.e., the number of transductants for each strain reflected the initial ratio for each strain) (Fig. 4C and S5). Accounting for the proportions of each strain, transduction into the phage-sensitive strain benefited from the presence of the anti-/H9272 strain as its abundance in the population increased (Fig. 4D).
FIG 4 CRISPR-Cas enhances transduction at the population level by reducing phage abundance. A phage-sensitive WT strain (PCF326) and phage-resistant anti-φ strains (PCF332 [3 anti-φH9272] and PCF400 [3 anti-φH11003]) were combined in different proportions (WT/anti-φ ratios, 100:0, 90:10, 50:50, 10:90, and 0:100) and infected with a transducing lysate at an MOI of 1. (A and B) Average numbers of transductants (A) and changes in PFUs (B) were determined for two phages, φTE and φM1. ND, not detected. (C) The percentages of WT transductants (gray bars) and anti-φ transductants (blue bars) were calculated for each assay. (D) The number of transductants in the WT background was adjusted to its proportion in each
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There was an inverse correlation between transduction efficiency and the final virus abundance (i.e., fewer phages remained in populations with more of the anti-ϕ strain, and those conditions exhibited greater numbers of transductants) (Fig. 4B). To confirm that the high final phage abundance was responsible for the lower transduction levels, we took cocultures with a high anti-ϕ proportion (WT/anti-ϕ ratio, 10:90) and, by spiking in WT phages, simulated the phage epidemic present in cultures with lower transduction efficiencies. Consistent with the model, enhanced transduction was abolished, resulting in levels similar to those seen with the phage-sensitive monoculture that failed to suppress the phages (Fig. 4A and B; 100% WT). These results demonstrate that anti-ϕ strains enhance transduction by reducing the subsequent wild-type viral load, protecting the entire population, which can include phage-sensitive neighbors.

**Escape phages enable further gene transfer via transduction.** The ability of anti-ϕ strains to reduce phage abundance (Fig. 4B) suggests that subsequent rounds of transduction might initially be decreased. However, we predicted that the emergence of escape phages insensitive to the anti-ϕ spacers would enable further transduction. Indeed, following infection, ϕTE and ϕM1 escape phages were produced by anti-ϕ strains at a frequency of $\sim 10^{-3}$ to $10^{-4}$ (Fig. 3A and B). We examined the ϕTE escape phages further. These progeny ϕTE phage populations had escaped CRISPR interference and, as such, efficiently infected the anti-ϕ strain compared with WT ϕTE (Fig. 5A). Next, we investigated the ability of these escape phages to continue further rounds of transduction. The escape ϕTE phages were grown on bacteria originating from the first round of transduction that were resistant to WT ϕTE due to the presence of anti-ϕTE spacers (Fig. 5A). The ϕTE escape phages transduced a Km′ chromosomal marker from the anti-ϕTE strains into the WT strain and an anti-ϕTE strain with similar efficiencies (Fig. 5B). These results demonstrate that cycles of phage escape and transduction can continue even after anti-ϕ strains emerge, provided that the initial viral population is large enough to contain escape mutants. These observations are consistent with the phage-host CRISPR coevolutionary dynamics documented in both the laboratory and the natural environment where escaping phages emerged and then the bacteria acquired further spacers in response (41, 42).

**Phages can mobilize CRISPR-Cas resistance via transduction.** The ability of phages to transduce chromosomal regions and the role of CRISPR-Cas in enhancing this process—even to phage-sensitive neighbors—led us to query whether the CRISPR-Cas systems themselves were transferrable by transduction. Indeed, we showed that ϕTE was able to mobilize chromosomal regions of $\sim 100$ kb—big enough to encompass a CRISPR-Cas system (Fig. 2). Furthermore, in the experiments shown in Fig. 1, we demonstrated that ϕTE mobilized a genomic region encompassing CRISPR arrays (detected by Km′ in eca3672 and a Cm′ marker in the cas operon). To examine if CRISPR-Cas systems could be mobilized by transduction, we used ϕTE escape populations that were grown on strains containing a Km′ marker close to the CRISPR-Cas locus (Fig. 5B and C). The ϕTE escape transducing population mobilized the Km′ marker into both WT and anti-ϕTE strains (Fig. 5B). When the chromosomal marker was transduced into the phage-sensitive strain, most of the transductants had also acquired the phage-targeting spacers in CRISPR1 and/or CRISPR2, due to the linkage of the arrays with the Km′ marker (Fig. 5C and D). This showed that the ϕTE escape population can efficiently transduce the entire CRISPR-Cas locus containing the 3× anti-ϕTE spacers.
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assay to calculate the transduction fold enhancement. In panels A to D, the experiments using a WT/anti-ϕ ratio of 10:90 were complemented with phages to mimic a phage burst (bars with dashed lines). Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 6). Statistical significance was calculated using one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test, comparing strains with targeting spacers (90:10, 50:50, 10:90, and 0:100) to the control with no targeting spacers (100:0). The 10:90 phage complementation data were compared to the 10:90 data using an unpaired t test (**, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$; ****, $P \leq 0.0001$).
into strains that were previously phage sensitive. Therefore, escape phages can disseminate CRISPR arrays that contain anti-φ spacers by generalized transduction.

It was also of interest to determine if an entire CRISPR-Cas system could be transferred by transduction into strains that lack cas genes and therefore have no functional adaptive immunity. We demonstrated that the entire CRISPR-Cas system, including the cas operon and three associated CRISPR arrays with the antiphage spacers, could be transduced into strains lacking the cas operon (Δcas). This shows that transducing phages can move entire CRISPR-Cas systems and spacers in arrays. Therefore, phages may alter,
via generalized transduction, the specific phage resistance profile of their hosts and the dissemination of CRISPR-Cas systems.

**DISCUSSION**

By expanding on an earlier study (20), we have demonstrated that the transduction of plasmids, chromosomal loci, and genomic islands can be limited by CRISPR-Cas interference. Therefore, it is clear that in addition to conjugation and transformation (8, 9), the third major route of HGT, transduction, can also be inhibited by CRISPR-Cas. However, due to the infrequency of spacers acquired during transduction versus from phages, the dominant role of CRISPR-Cas in transduction is unlikely to be that of inhibition. We show that the acquisition of phage-targeting spacers enabled CRISPR-Cas to protect bacteria from phage infection, limited the effect of wild-type phages, and increased the generation of transductants. This positive influence of CRISPR-Cas on HGT was unexpected, and we hypothesize that it might be one route to counteract the proposed evolutionary downside of adaptive immunity on the acquisition of beneficial genes (15).

Short-term laboratory experiments have shown that one response to this downside appears to be selection for strains that have inactivated adaptive immunity when there is a strong selective pressure for HGT (15). For example, when *Staphylococcus epidermidis* containing a spacer matching an antibiotic resistance plasmid was forced to acquire the plasmid, the isolates had mutations that inactivated CRISPR-Cas immunity (15). Additionally, the presence of CRISPR-Cas inversely correlated with acquired antibiotic resistance in multiple isolates of *Enterococcus faecalis* (43). Despite the evolutionary downside observed in these examples, results of a large computational study led to the conclusion that any effect of CRISPR-Cas on impeding HGT was undetectable over longer evolutionary times and, hence, that support for the idea of an evolutionary downside was lacking (19). The positive effects of CRISPR-Cas-mediated phage resistance on HGT via transduction that we observed may provide one route to rebalance gene flow and enable HGT and adaptive evolution in the presence of CRISPR-Cas immunity. Although we showed that transduction can be inhibited by CRISPR-Cas, the fitness benefits associated with virus protection, and the rates of dissemination of genetic material by transduction, argue that the selective pressure for acquisition of phage-targeting spacers likely outweighs that of the infrequent acquisition of spacers targeting transduced DNA.

Our experiments most closely represent a migration of phages into a population or an increase in numbers of phages due to a burst in part of the population. It is more challenging to predict the outcome following multiple rounds of phage infections, due to the complexities of the dynamics of phage and host numbers, the evolution of phage resistance, escape phage frequencies, and the ability to transduce CRISPR-Cas immunity itself. It is possible that, over multiple rounds of infection, CRISPR adaptation events could eventually lead to the extinction of transducing phages (44) and eliminate the potential to generate transducing particles until escape phages emerge. However, in the environment, phages and their hosts typically coexist. In agreement, we show that, following a round of infection, escape phages are detectable and are capable of continuing transduction. Therefore, we predict that the population might cycle between periods of enhanced transduction with anti-φ strains, leading to phage suppression, followed by the appearance of escape phages (41, 45). Understanding these dynamics will be interesting and will require a combination of experimental and theoretical methods to quantify the different host and phage populations during cycles of infection and transduction. Irrespective of the exact dynamics, in the presence of phages, phage-resistant transductants are expected to outcompete any sensitive bacteria in the community.

CRISPR-Cas systems are present on chromosomes, plasmids, genomic islands, and even phages and are sporadically distributed between different bacterial taxa (46–48). In agreement, it has been suggested that some are disseminated horizontally by mechanisms such as conjugation (46). We show that transduction can mobilize chro-
mosomally located CRISPR-Cas systems, in addition to plasmids and genomic islands. Therefore, CRISPR-Cas systems on plasmids and genomic islands are also likely to be transferred by transduction. The ability of some transducing phages to transfer genetic material between bacterial genera (10) might explain how highly related defense systems can be present in phylogenetically distinct bacteria. In addition to transduction of the cas operon, CRISPR arrays and phage-targeting spacers were transferred. The ability of phages to transfer spacers that provide resistance to other phages is predicted to generate bacterial hosts that are permissive to the transducing phage but with reduced competition from other phages. Transducing phages can also mobilize innate immune defenses. For example, in P. atrosepticum, φTE escape phages transduced the ToxIN toxin-antitoxin/abortive infection system, which provided resistance to wild-type φTE phages and other phages, such as φM1 (31).

Surprisingly, anti-φ strains not only enhanced the transduction of themselves but also of phage-sensitive bacteria by reducing the subsequent wild-type phage abundance in the population. An interesting possibility raised by our results is that, in populations with both phage-resistant and phage-sensitive strains, transduction of CRISPR-Cas phage resistance into phage-sensitive recipients might be enhanced. Therefore, it appears to represent a complex dynamic between CRISPR-Cas-mediated phage resistance and transduction. Since phages are estimated to promote >10^16 gene transfer events/s (13, 28), their role in the spread of CRISPR-Cas systems is likely to be significant. Finally, from a biotechnological perspective, the ability to program cells as more-robust recipients during transduction could also provide new approaches for enhancing genetic modification of bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are given in Table S1 in the supplemental material. P. atrosepticum SCR1043 (49) was grown at 25°C and E. coli at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB) at 180 rpm or on LB agar (LBA) plates containing 1.5% (wt vol^{-1}) agar. When required, media were supplemented with chloramphenicol (Cm; 25 μg ml^{-1}) or kanamycin (Km; 50 μg ml^{-1}). Bacterial growth was measured in a Jenway 6300 spectrophotometer at 600-nm optical density (OD_{600}).

Phage lysate preparation and titration. The transducing phages, φTE (31) (genome size of ~142 kb) and φM1 (32, 40), were stored in phage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 10 mM MgSO_{4}, 0.01% [wt vol^{-1}] gelatin). Lysates were made by serially diluting phages in phage buffer, adding the mixture to 100 μl of a mixture consisting of P. atrosepticum culture (pregrown in 5-ml LB overnight) and 4 ml top LBA (0.35% [φTE] and 0.5% [φM1]) agar, and pouring the result onto LBA plates. Plates were incubated at 25°C overnight, plates were counted, and the titer was determined. Top agar from plates with almost confluent lysis was harvested with 3 ml of phage buffer, subjected to vortex mixing with 500 μl chloroform (saturated with NaHCO_{3}) for approximately 2 min, and centrifuged at 2,219 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected, 100 μl of chloroform was added, and lysates were stored at 4°C. Titers of phages were determined as described above, typically resulting in high-titer stocks (5 × 10^{11} to 4 × 10^{11} PFU ml^{-1}).

Transduction assays. Duplicate 6-ml cultures of recipient strains were grown overnight and combined. The cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 2, and 10 ml (total, 1 × 10^{10} CFU) was pelleted and resuspended in 1 ml LB. Phage lysates were adjusted to 1 × 10^{11} PFU ml^{-1}, and 100 μl (1 × 10^{10} PFU) was added at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. Transductions were incubated statically for 15 min at 25°C, and then 9 ml of LB (25°C) was added and the tubes were shaken for 45 min at 90 rpm on a slight angle at 25°C. Cells were pelleted at 2,219 × g for 9 min at room temperature, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml LB. This step was repeated three times to remove excess phages, and the resulting material was finally resuspended in 1 ml LB. A 100-μl sample was plated onto LBA with the appropriate antibiotics, and the rest was pelleted and plated onto the same medium. Plates were incubated at 25°C for up to 5 days, and transductants were counted. Transduction efficiency was calculated as the number of transductants per PFU. Control samples of phage lysate and recipients were plated on the same antibiotics to check for contamination and spontaneous resistance, respectively, and no colonies were detected in any experiment. For infectivity controls, φTE titers were calculated for all lysates on their respective recipient strains to rule out differences in infection that might influence the determination of transduction efficiency (e.g., resulting from a receptor mutation).

Plasmid transduction. P. atrosepticum Δcas (Cmr; strain PCF79 [50]) was made electrocompetent as described previously (36) and transformed with purified pTRB30 and pPF189 plasmids. φTE lysates were prepared on PCF79 transformed with pTRB30 and on PCF79 transformed with pPF189. The lysates were used to transduce the QA412, PIM06, and PIM17 strains. The CRISPR array spacer content of the PIM strains used in this study was determined by colony PCR and sequencing performed with primers for CRISPR1 (PF174 and PF175), CRISPR2 (PF176 and PF177), or CRISPR3 (PF178 and PF179) as described previously (30). All oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Table S2. Plasmid transduction was...
verified by antibiotic resistance (gain of Km) and by PCR for the plasmid by using primers PF209 and PF210 (see Fig. S1A and B in the supplemental material). An infectivity control verified that all recipients had the cos170 transposon (Fig. S1C). Colonies were also checked for Cm sensitivity, and the results indicated that the colonies were not the original PCF79 strains used for lysate production. Mock lysates (containing no phages) were harvested from strain PCF79 containing either pTRB30 or pPF189 as described earlier but with phage buffer and no phage in the overlays. Mock lysates were used in transductions and did not facilitate plasmid transduction.

**Transduction of chromosomal loci.** qTE lysates were prepared on six strains (PCF83 to PCF88) and used in transduction assays with strains ΔHA12, PIM18, PIM28, and PIM86 as recipients with selection performed on Km (to detect Km transfer) or Cm (to detect Cm control transfer). Phage lysate and recipient controls were also plated as described for the transduction assay. Representative transductants (where obtained) were patched onto LBA with Km and LBA with Cm. Putative transductants were verified by PCR for each marked locus with PF1212 (binds to marked insertion) in combination with the following primers: PF1573 (eca1208), PF1573 (eca0499), PF1457 (eca1388), PF1577 (eca1657), PF1459 (eca2396), and PF1460 (eca3672) (Fig. S2C). To verify the transduction of the cas gene, primers PF432 and PF433 were used (Fig. S2D). As a control transduction, qTE lysates were used to prepare the wild-type *P. atrosepticum* strain (i.e., resulting in no marked transducing particles). When this was used in control transductions, no transductants (Km) were obtained.

**Transduction of HA12.** To check HA12 transfer, lysates of qTE were prepared on three strains (PCF89 to PCF91) and used in transduction assays performed with the ΔHA12 strain or the wild-type strain as the recipient. Since homologous recombination positively affects transduction efficiency, transduction to islandless recipients occurred at a 10-fold-lower frequency (~0.5 × 10^-8 transductants/PFU) (Fig. 2B) than was seen with wild-type recipients (~0.5 × 10^-6 transductants/PFU). Putative HA12 transductants were verified by plating on LBA with Km or Cm and by using PCR to amplify features of the various strains. PCR was performed with primer pairs as follows: cas1 with PF390 and PF391, cat (Cm gene) with PF432 and PF433, attP (circularized pHAI2) with PF1225 and PF1226, attL (the HA12 insertion site) with PF1227 and PF1228, attR (island border regions) with PF1227 and PF1228, attR with PF1225 and PF1228, and, finally, a gene in the island, eca0573, with PF1446 and PF1447. To check CRISPR-Cas inhibition of transfer, qTE prepared on PCF90 was used in transduction assays with strains ΔHA12, PIM06, and PIM31 as recipients.

**Transduction with anti-phage strains.** Transduction assays with anti-phage strains were carried out using overnight cultures at an OD600 adjusted to 2 (1 × 10^8 CFU), and the cultures were resuspended in 1 ml LB in 50-ml tubes. Phages were added at an MOI of 1 (1 × 10^6 PFU). Assays with qTE were incubated for 15 min statically, followed by 45 min of shaking, before a 100-µl sample and then the remaining amount were plated. Reaction mixtures used for assays with qM1 were incubated for a total of 20 min with shaking, prior to plating onto media with antibiotics.

**Efficiency of plating and efficiency of transduction assays.** Strains that had acquired qTE- and qM1-targeting spacers were isolated as previously described (S1). The value representing the efficiency of plating was defined as the titer of the phage-resistant test strains (PCF188, PCF190, PCF193, PCF254, and PCF256)/the titer of the control strain (SCRI1043). The transducing lysates were prepared on PCF88 and PCF79 with pTRB30 plasmid and were transduced into recipients. Efficiency of transduction was determined by calculation of the test transduction efficiency/recipient (SCR1043) efficiency.

**Mixed-culture transduction assays.** Monocultures of the phage-sensitive WT (PCF326) strain and phage-resistant CRISPR (PCF332 [anti-qTE] and PCF400 [anti-qM1]) strains were grown overnight and combined in WT/anti-φ ratios of 100:0, 90:10, 50:50, 10:90, and 0:100, and 1 × 10^9 cells were used for each assay. Assays were performed with six replicates for each qTE and qM1. Phage lysate was added at an MOI of 1, and cells were shaken for 1 h, in 1 ml LB, before being plated onto LBA with selection. Transductants were patched onto LBA containing NaI or Sm to identify the host strain. Colony forming units (CFUs) were determined by plating initial and final samples (10 µl), plating onto LBA, and plating 100 colonies onto LBA containing NaI or Sm. Total PFU counts were determined by adding an aliquot (10 µl) of culture to LB containing chloroform. PFU fold change was calculated as final PFU/initial PFU. Transduction fold enhancement for WT strains was calculated as follows: (number of transductants/average number of transductants in the 100:0 assay)/proportion of WT cells in the assay.

**Transduction of CRISPR by escape phages.** Transduction assays were performed as described above (see “Transduction with anti-phage strains”). The transduction of CRISPR-Cas into the Δcos strain was identified by plating transductants onto LBA carrying Km to select for transfer of the chromosomal marker. Transductants were then patched onto LBA with or without Cm to screen for loss of Cm, indicating that the cos genes had been transferred. PCR screening of CRISPR arrays to detect the transduction of spacers was performed using primers PF174 and PF175 for CRISPR1 and primers PF176 and PF177 for CRISPR2 (Table S2).

**Nested PCR to screen for spacer acquisition.** Nested PCR was performed using primers PF1730 and PF1732 (round 1) followed by PF1730 and PF1733 (round 2). PCR products from round 1 were purified using an Illustra GFX PCR DNA and gel band purification kit (GE Healthcare) and were used as the round 2 template. To test the level of detection provided by PCR, the strains (PIM20 and ΔHA12) were grown overnight. The PIM20 strain was serially diluted and combined with the ΔHA12 strain at appropriate proportions based on OD600 values to create samples with 10^5 to 10^10 PIM20 cells in a pool of 10^10 total cells. An aliquot of each sample was used for PCR. Island transduction was carried out as described above (see “Transduction of HA12”), using a donor with a Km marker in eca0573 (PCF89). Following transduction, pooled culture was used as the template for round 1 PCR.
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