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ABSTRACT Understanding cellular responses to environmental stimuli requires not only the knowledge of specific regulatory components but also the quantitative characterization of the magnitude and timing of regulatory events. The two-component system is one of the major prokaryotic signaling schemes and is the focus of extensive interest in quantitative modeling and investigation of signaling dynamics. Here we report how the binding affinity of the PhoB two-component response regulator (RR) to target promoters impacts the level and timing of expression of PhoB-regulated genes. Information content has often been used to assess the level of conservation for transcription factor (TF)-binding sites. We show that increasing the information content of PhoB-binding sites in designed phoA promoters increased the binding affinity and that the binding affinity and concentration of phosphorylated PhoB (PhoB~P) together dictate the level and timing of expression of phoA promoter variants. For various PhoB-regulated promoters with distinct promoter architectures, expression levels appear not to be correlated with TF-binding affinities, in contrast to the intuitive and oversimplified assumption that promoters with higher affinity for a TF tend to have higher expression levels. However, the expression timing of the core set of PhoB-regulated genes correlates well with the binding affinity of PhoB~P to individual promoters and the temporal hierarchy of gene expression appears to be related to the function of gene products during the phosphate starvation response. Modulation of the information content and binding affinity of TF-binding sites may be a common strategy for temporal programming of the expression profile of RR-regulated genes.

IMPORTANCE A single TF often orchestrates the expression of multiple genes in response to environmental stimuli. It is not clear how different TF-binding sites within the regulon dictate the expression profile. Our studies of Escherichia coli PhoB, a response regulator that controls expression of a core set of phosphate assimilation genes in response to phosphate starvation, showed that expression levels of PhoB-regulated genes are under sophisticated control and do not follow a simple correlation with the binding affinity of PhoB~P to individual promoters. However, the expression timing correlates with the PhoB-binding affinity and gene functions. Genes involved in direct Pi uptake contain high-affinity sites and are transcribed earlier than genes involved in phosphorus scavenging. This illustrates an elaborate mechanism of temporally programmed gene expression, even for nondevelopmental pathways.

Cells often respond to diverse environmental conditions by modulating the activity of transcription factors (TFs) that activate or repress the expression of target genes. When, where, and to what level each gene is expressed are crucial for appropriate responses and efficient adaptation. One of the basic mechanisms for controlling the magnitude and timing of gene expression is through cis-regulatory sequences that determine the TF occupancy of promoters (1–3). TF occupancy affects the recruitment of RNA polymerase (RNAP) for transcription output. In prokaryotes, promoter occupancy by a TF is largely controlled by the concentration of active TF and the interaction of active TF with specific binding sites within promoters, both of which collectively influence the timing and level of gene expression and coordinate an optimal expression pattern of different genes for environmental adaptation.

For genes activated by a TF, one common intuitive assumption is that the level and timing of gene expression correlate with the affinity of a TF for its target operator sequences within an individual promoter. Promoters with higher affinity for a TF tend to have higher TF occupancy that leads to higher probabilities of recruiting RNA polymerase (RNAP) for transcription initiation (2, 4). Additionally, the accumulation rate of active TF influences the time required for the concentration of active TF to reach the level for adequate promoter occupancy. Promoters with higher TF affinity require a lower concentration of active TF to achieve a given level of occupancy than do promoters with lower affinity and thus
are transcribed earlier. Different TF activation dynamics can adjust the timing difference between high-affinity and low-affinity promoters. These generalizations clearly represent an oversimplification of the transcription-regulatory mechanism in which many other factors, such as the positioning of TF-binding sites, interactions with other regulator proteins, and different RNAIP recruiting efficiencies, can all influence the ultimate transcription output (1, 2, 4). Thus, it is necessary to determine how the level and timing of gene expression are correlated with the binding affinity of TFs and what the limitation and scope are for such generalized correlations. These issues are central to understanding how regulatory mechanisms are utilized by cells to coordinate gene expression for optimal responses to environmental stimuli.

Dependence of gene regulation on TF-binding affinity and activation dynamics is investigated here for the PhoB response regulator (RR) of *Escherichia coli*. The PhoB/PhoR regulatory system represents an archetype of the widely distributed prokaryotic two-component signal transduction scheme (5–8). PhoR, the histidine sensor kinase (HK), responds to limitation of environmental phosphate (Pₐ) concentrations by modulating the phosphorylation level of PhoB (8–10). Phosphorylated PhoB (PhoB~P) is the active form of the transcription regulator that binds to specific DNA sequences in target promoters, designated as Pho boxes, and interacts with the σ factor for RNA polymerase (RNAP) recruitment and gene activation (10, 11). For many bacterial TFs whose active form is not readily distinguishable from the inactive one in *vivo*, the exact cellular concentrations of the active form of TFs are usually difficult to track. In contrast, in *vivo* concentrations of the active RR, RR~P, can be quantified using Phos-tag gels (12). PhoB phosphorylation levels have been measured *in vivo* across a range of PhoB expression levels (9), which allows a quantitative assessment of how binding affinities affect gene expression levels at different PhoB~P concentrations.

A global binding profile of *E. coli* PhoB under Pₐ-depleted conditions has identified a wide variety of genes regulated by PhoB (13). Among them are several genes encoding proteins involved in phosphorus assimilation, including *phoBR*, *pstSCAB-phoU*, *phoE*, *ugpBAECQ*, *phoA*, and *phnCDEEFGHIJKMNOP*. Genes with functions similar to the functions of these PhoB-activated genes are often found to be induced by phosphate starvation in other organisms as well (14–18). Expression of them enables cells to increase Pₐ uptake with more Pₐ-specific transporters and to utilize alternative phosphorus sources such as organophosphate and phosphonate (10). As phosphorus is an indispensable building block of cells, the expression level and timing of these genes may be coordinated to make efficient use of different phosphorus sources once the availability of the preferred inorganic orthophosphate is limited. Detailed expression analyses are required to evaluate the expression profiles of Pho regulon genes and assess the cis-regulatory elements that impact the expression of these genes.

Here we report that the expression timing of PhoB-regulated genes upon phosphate starvation correlates well with the binding affinity of PhoB~P for individual promoters whereas the expression levels are subject to more complex control. The information content (IC) in a 22-bp PhoB-binding site containing two tandem 11-bp repeats was found to have predictive power for relative levels of binding affinity, allowing design of *phoA* promoter variants with different affinities. For those *phoA* promoter alleles that contain base variations only within the PhoB-binding site, analyses in strains expressing PhoB constitutively showed a simple dependence of *phoA* expression on PhoB~P concentrations and the binding affinity. In contrast, for a core set of PhoB-regulated promoters with distinct –10 sequences and promoter architectures (i.e., with respect to the number, location, and orientation of PhoB-binding sites), the binding strength, specifically, the PhoB~P dissociation rate, shows little correlation with expression level even though the timing of expression follows the same order as the PhoB~P dissociation rates. Slower PhoB phosphorylation kinetics in the autoregulated wild-type (WT) strain cause larger timing differences between promoters, but the temporal order is maintained. Furthermore, the temporal hierarchy of gene expression appears to be related to the functions of the genes in this core set. Genes encoding alkaline phosphatase (AP) and phosphonate-utilizing proteins are expressed later and at higher PhoB~P levels during phosphate starvation than genes involved in direct Pₐ uptake. Our results demonstrate that the binding affinity characteristics of PhoB-binding sites are used to temporally program the expression profile of genes to match their functional roles in phosphate starvation responses.

**RESULTS**

The affinity of PhoB-binding sites depends on sequences of two 11-bp repeat elements. PhoB-binding sites have been well studied, and yet how variations in sequence affect binding affinities remains largely unknown, preventing a rational design of PhoB-binding site mutants to investigate how the TF-binding affinity impacts gene regulation. The PhoB-binding site is traditionally described as an 18-bp site that consists of two 7-bp direct repeats (5’-CGTTCAT-3’) separated by an AT-rich 4-bp spacer (10). Sequences of Pho boxes in both *phoB* and *phoA* promoters display high similarity to this consensus sequence, even though a considerably lower affinity for PhoB~P was observed for the *phoA* promoter (Fig. 1A; see also Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). This suggests that additional sequence features may contribute to the Pho box affinity. The structure of a PhoB-DNA complex (19) reveals two 11-bp tandem repeats contacted by PhoB, with each repeat containing a TGTCA tract involved in major groove contacts flanked by AT-rich bases at positions of minor groove contacts (Fig. 1A; see also Fig. S1B). A 22-bp span of DNA, longer than the minimal 18-bp site, is covered by PhoB. The 4-bp extension is within the minor-groove-contacting region and shows a slight preference for A/T bases (Fig. 1A). Compared to the *phoB* promoter, the binding site within the *phoa* promoter contains exclusively G/C base pairs in this region as well as one G/C pair in the upstream minor-groove-contacting region. This may contribute to its low affinity because A/T-rich segments are suggested to narrow the minor groove and thus facilitate minor groove contacts (3, 20, 21). When all identified PhoB-binding sites were extended to 22 bp to reconstruct a position weight matrix (PWM), a much lower information content (IC), a score commonly used to evaluate the degree of conservation for transcription factor binding sites (22), was observed for *phoa*, indicating a match to the PWM that is weaker than that seen with *phob*, consistent with its lower affinity.

To create *phoa* promoter variants with different PhoB~P affinities, substitutions were made in both the minor-groove-contacting and major-groove-contacting regions (Fig. 1A; see also Fig. S1B in the supplemental material). Keeping the TGTCA tract intact, G/C pairs were substituted with A/T pairs in the minor-groove-contacting region to generate *phoa* Hi, a construct with
greatly increased PhoB–P binding affinity, as shown by the results of electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) (Fig. 1B and C and Table 1). While maintaining all A/T substitutions in the minor-groove-contacting region, a T-to-C base substitution was made within the highly conserved consensus TGTCA tract to generate phoA*Mid, a mutant with an intermediate affinity, lower than that of phoA*Hi and higher than that of the original phoA promoter, which contains a perfect TGTCA tract. Information content calculated from sequences of 22-bp sites correctly recapitulates the ranking of PhoB–P affinities for these three sites and may be useful for prediction of the relative affinities of other PhoB-binding sites.

Expression levels of phoA promoters correlate with both PhoB-binding affinity and PhoB–P concentrations. phoA, phoA*Mid, and phoA*Hi were fused to a promoterless yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) to assess the impact of binding affinity on expression levels. Analogous to in vitro experiments in which different PhoB–P concentrations were used to derive the full binding curve, YFP reporter activities were measured in strains with a range of phoBR expression levels controlled by IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) (see Fig. S2A and Table S1 in the supplemental material). Even though the exact Kd values differ for in vivo reporter assays and in vitro EMSAs, the relative Kd values match very well (Table 1). In both assays, phoA has a Kdvalue about 5-fold that of phoA*Hi whereas the phoA*Mid has a Kd value that is approximately 2-fold higher than that of phoA*Hi. This clearly demonstrates that the binding affinity of PhoB–P to its target promoters influences the transcription output. However, the transcription output also depends on the concentration of active TF. The wild-type (WT) strain expresses PhoB at a concentration of ~9 μM under P1-depleted conditions, and even the weakly binding phoA promoter reaches the saturated-expression level at this concentration. Thus, despite their distinct binding affinities, all promoter variants have comparable activities at the fully induced level of WT PhoB (Fig. 1D).

Gene expression onset time correlates with PhoB-binding affinity for phoA variants. Aside from the expression levels of pro-

### TABLE 1 Dissociation constants of phoA mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>Kd (μM) from EMSAs</th>
<th>Kd (μM) from in vivo reporter assays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phoA</td>
<td>0.59 (5.3×)</td>
<td>1.6 (5.0×)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoA*Mid</td>
<td>0.19 (1.7×)</td>
<td>0.66 (2.1×)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phoA*Hi</td>
<td>0.11 (1.0×)</td>
<td>0.32 (1.0×)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses are fold differences in Kd values.
motors, the timing of transcription may also be affected by Pho box affinity because the promoter occupancy by PhoB~P depends temporally on the dynamics of PhoB~P accumulation. When *E. coli* cells were grown in MOPS (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid) minimal media, phosphate was consumed and became limiting, followed by a change in the growth rate and in *phoA-yfp* expression (Fig. 2A). For the analyzed samples with a constant PhoB expression level close to the WT level (Fig. 2B; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material), the Pi concentration fell below the implicated activating threshold of 4 μM (10) between 66 and 72 min after inoculation into growth media whereas significant phosphorylation of PhoB was observed at 72 min and the phosphorylation reached a steady level 12 to 18 min later (Fig. 2A and B). Thus, any difference in transcription timing for *phoA* variants is expected to be less than the short time (12 to 18 min) required for PhoB~P saturation.

As shown in Fig. 2C, the peak of the second derivative of YFP fluorescence marks the switch point of cellular fluorescence, indicating the onset time of *yfp* expression. The timing of fluorescence onset is affected by the time required for transcription, translation, and maturation of the YFP reporter protein, all of which are greatly influenced by the growth rate and the metabolic state of cells in particular environments. Thus, relative timing in reference to the *phoA-yfp* reporter under identical phosphate starvation conditions is examined instead of the absolute timing of fluorescence onset. To quantify the difference of transcription timing, the second derivative peak is used to define the expression onset time of *phoA* promoter variants and the mean onset time of *phoA-yfp* was specified as a zero reference point. Because the phosphate consumption rate greatly influences the time when the Pi concentration reaches the activation threshold, any minuscule differences in growth rates among different cultures result in considerable variation in the activation onset time. Thus, gene expression onset times display a wide distribution (Fig. 2D). Nonetheless, the mean onset times of *phoA* variants differ significantly from each other. The *yfp* gene is expressed earliest under the control of *phoA* variants. Temporal responses were analyzed in strain RU1616 with 150 μM IPTG, which has a steady PhoB expression level at ~8 μM, similar to the WT concentration of 9 μM seen under Pi-depleted conditions.

**FIG 2** Correlation between the timing of promoter activation and DNA-binding affinities of *phoA* variants. Temporal responses were analyzed in strain RU1616 with 150 μM IPTG, which has a steady PhoB expression level at ~8 μM, similar to the WT concentration of 9 μM seen under Pi-depleted conditions. (A) Activation of *phoA-yfp* upon phosphate starvation. The phosphate concentration (Pi Conc.) (solid circles), OD₆₀₀ (dashed and dotted line), and total YFP fluorescence (Fluo.) (solid line) were monitored during growth. The arrow marks the first observed time point at which the Pi concentration became limited and fell below the documented 4 μM threshold for activation. (B) Immunoblots showing in vivo phosphorylation levels of PhoB measured by the use of Phos-tag gels (upper panel) and total PhoB levels measured by the use of standard gels (lower panel). (C) Quantification of gene expression onset time. One representative analysis for each of the *phoA* and *phoA* variants is shown to illustrate the method. Dashed lines represent the second derivatives of cell fluorescence (solid lines), and dotted lines represent peak positions of the second derivatives that define the switch point of cellular fluorescence, marking the onset of reporter activation. (D) Distribution of onset time differences among *phoB* promoter variants. Individual data (solid circles) and box plots are shown with whiskers positioned at the 95th percentile and the 5th percentile. Solid squares indicate the mean values. Means of onset times were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc test with P values as indicated (***, P < 0.001; *, P < 0.05). Sample sizes were as follows: for *phoA*, n = 96; for *phoA* variants, n = 62; and for *phoA* variants, n = 58.

**The gene expression level and the onset time of PhoB-regulated promoters have different correlations with PhoB affinity.** PhoB regulates a wide variety of genes with distinct roles in phosphorus assimilation. Many of these genes have more complex promoter architectures than the well-characterized *phoA* promoter and often carry different numbers, locations, or orientations of PhoB-binding sites (Fig. 3A; see also Table S2 in the supplemental material). To assess the overall binding behavior of five selected promoters, entire promoter DNA fragments rather than individual sites were chosen for PhoB~P binding analyses using Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) (see Fig. S3). For simplicity, apparent dissociation rates (off-rates) were used to compare the binding affinities of PhoB~P to individual promoters (Fig. 3A and B). The PhoB-binding site within the *phoB* promoter has a lower PhoB~P off-rate than that of the *phoA* promoter, consistent with its higher affinity as shown in EMSA results (see Fig. S1). Again, information content predicted from the PWM matches the binding affinity of PhoB~P to promoters and the highest information content values of individual promoters correlate very well with the
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FIG 3  Effects of binding affinities on gene expression of PhoB-regulated promoters. (A) Details of PhoB-regulated promoters. The promoters tested were from five operons: phoBR, phoE, ugpBAEQC, phoA, and phnCDE...OP. Boxes illustrate the positions of PhoB-binding sites, and positions are proportional to their relative distances from the transcription start (see Text S1 in the supplemental material for detailed sequences and the positioning of Pho boxes). The numbers at the top indicate information content (IC) calculated from the 22-bp PWM. All five promoters are fused to identical yfp genes for expression analyses. Alk., alkaline. (B) Dissociation of PhoB-DNA complexes measured by Bio-layer interferometry (BLI). Data are fitted using single exponential decay models on PhoB concentrations (Fig. 3D). The reporter activities of these promoters display different dependencies of expression levels (C) and activation onset times (E) were measured from at least four independent experiments. The vertical black dotted line in panel D indicates the WT expression level of PhoB under P-, depleted conditions. OD normalized reporter activities (C) to E) Expression level and timing of the indicated promoters. Reporter activities (C and D) are measured in RU1616, RU1618, and RU1783 with the following reporter plasmids: pIZG202 (phoB), pRG347 (phoE), pRG346 (ugpB), pRG161 (phoA), and pRG162 (phnC). Error bars represent standard deviations (SDs) of the results from at least four independent experiments. The temporal order of gene expression was observed in an engineered strain with a constant level of PhoB expression and Tukey’s post hoc test with P values of each pair of <0.001 except for the following: for phoB and ugpB, P = 0.054; for phoB and phoE, P = 0.74; for phoE and ugpB, P = 0.69; and for phoA and phnC, P = 0.014. Sample sizes were as follows: for phoB, n = 61; for phoE, n = 34; for ugpB, n = 36; for phoA, n = 96; and for phnC, n = 36.

measured dissociation rates (Pearson coefficient r = -0.9, P = 0.03).

At a constant PhoB concentration of 8 μM, no apparent correlation between PhoB–P off-rates and promoter expression levels could be identified (Pearson coefficient r = -0.06, P = 0.75) (Fig. 3C). The reporter activities of phoB, ugpB, and phnC were similar to one another despite their distinct off-rates. The low-affinity Pho box within the phoA promoter has a high off-rate, but phoA displays the second-highest reporter activity. Moreover, these promoters display different dependencies of expression levels on PhoB concentrations (Fig. 3D). The reporter activities of phoB and ugpB decreased at high PhoB–P concentrations after the initial increase, suggesting repression of the promoter activity. Expression levels of phoE, phoA, and phnC increased monotonically along with PhoB levels, but the PhoB concentrations for half-maximal expression appeared similar and thus did not reflect the PhoB–P dissociation rates. These data suggest that for genes co-regulated by PhoB–P, expression levels are not necessarily correlated with affinities of PhoB-binding sites within their promoters.

In contrast, expression onset times of these promoters correlate very well with their PhoB–P dissociation rates (Pearson coefficient r = 0.61, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3E). The phnC promoter, which has the highest off-rate for PhoB–P binding, indicative of low affinity, is expressed latest, while promoters with lower off-rates display earlier expression onset. Different gene expression onset times likely reflect differences in promoter occupancy by the PhoB protein as PhoB–P levels increase during phosphate starvation. Unlike gene expression levels that are modulated by many other factors in addition to promoter occupancy by the TF protein, the timing of transcription may have a simple dependence on TF occupancy of the promoter, determined solely by the PhoB–P binding affinity and PhoB–P concentrations.

Gene expression onset time is correlated with PhoB affinity in WT cells. The temporal order of gene expression was observed in an engineered strain with a constant level of PhoB expression different from that observed in the WT strain, in which PhoB positively autoregulates its own expression and has a distinct dynamic pattern of PhoB–P accumulation. To investigate transcription timing differences in WT cells, we introduced a phoA-cfp reporter as an internal reference together with the promoter of interest that drives yfp expression (Fig. 4A). phoA-cfp was activated upon phosphate starvation, and the expression onset time of phoA-cfp was set as zero while the difference between cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) and YFP fluorescence onset times from the same culture was calculated to represent the expression timing difference. This allows evaluation of onset time differences independently of strain backgrounds, which reduces interference from growth rate variations between strains.

As shown in Fig. 4B, the expression onset times of phoB, ugpB, and phoA in both WT and constitutive strains displayed the same pattern as that shown in Fig. 3D. phoB-yfp was expressed the earliest followed by ugpB and phoA, correlating with their binding affinities. For strains containing phoa-yfp, the difference between CFP and YFP fluorescence onset times was not zero even though both cfp and yfp are behind the identical phoA promoter. The slightly earlier onset time of YFP fluorescence could be attributed to faster maturation of YFP than CFP (23) and to copy number differences of CFP and YFP reporter plasmids. Nevertheless, the
results in distinct expression times, but whether the expression timing relates to the gene function during phosphate starvation is unknown. Despite the largely different compositions of PhoB regulon genes in individual organisms, a core set of genes with common functions in phosphorus assimilation are often found to be induced upon phosphate starvation (14–18). These genes include phoB itself for regulation, anion- or Pi-specific outer membrane porin genes, high-affinity Pi-specific transporter (pst) genes for direct Pi uptake, and genes encoding alkaline phosphatase (AP) (e.g., phoA) and phosphonate utilization proteins (phn) for scavenging alternative phosphorus sources from organophosphate and phosphate. Interestingly, the genes involved in utilizing organophosphorus sources, such as phoA and the phn genes, are all expressed late and contain weak PhoB-binding sites, while genes involved in direct Pi uptake and phob itself contain high-affinity sites, suggesting a link between gene function and expression timing determined by the PhoB affinity level.

To explore whether the same link between gene function and the affinity of PhoB-binding sites is preserved in different organisms, information content of predicted PhoB-binding sites was examined in corresponding promoters across species. Information content calculated from the 22-bp PWM serves as a good indicator of PhoB-affinity, and PhoB proteins from different organisms have been shown to recognize similar DNA sequences (14, 26). Despite different gene arrangements or operon structures in some of the analyzed genomes, promoters controlling expression of phob or Pi-up take pst genes generally contain sites of higher information content than that seen with those expressing AP or phosphonate utilization phn genes (Fig. 5A). PhoB-binding sites are less conserved in more distantly related species, as indicated in the phylogenetic tree, and yet the pattern of higher-information-content sites in phob and pst promoters persists. It appears that that affinity of PhoB-binding sites may be coupled to gene function to allow bacteria to program the timing of transcription for efficient use of the preferred phosphorus source, orthophosphate, before switching to alternative phosphorus sources.

**DISCUSSION**

Two-component signaling pathways are often described simply as static steps that eventually switch gene expression on or off. Growing numbers of reports have demonstrated sophisticated control of signaling dynamics along different steps of the pathway, and there is great interest in understanding the dynamic nature and mechanisms of regulating the expression profile (27). The results presented here reveal a temporal program of gene expression regulated by the PhoB/PhoR system and evaluate the correlation between PhoB binding affinities and the expression profiles of coregulated genes.

On the basis of a simple promoter occupancy model, it is often assumed that the expression level of a gene correlates with the TF-binding affinity because higher affinity results in higher occupancy of the promoter. Our results demonstrate that this assumption is not applicable for all promoters. For phaA promoter variants that differ only in the sequences of PhoB-binding sites, a correlation between gene expression levels and binding affinities was observed. Such a correlation is also observed in many other promoter mutants or synthetic promoter constructs that have different binding sites within otherwise identical promoter sequences (28, 29). However, in order for expression levels to reflect expression onset times of phob, ugpB, and phoA were significantly different from each other in both strains. Moreover, there was a significantly larger difference in expression onset time between phob-yfp and phoA-cfp in the WT strain than in the constitutive RU1783 strain (Fig. 4B). The onset time difference of these two reporters represents the time required for the PhoB–P concentration to increase from the level sufficient for phob-yfp activation to a higher level sufficient for phoA-cfp activation. A larger onset time difference suggests a slower increase in the PhoB–P level during phosphate starvation in the autoregulated WT strain than in the constitutive strain. Indeed, accumulation of PhoB–P was slower in the WT strain than in RU1783 as shown by a comparison of the phosphorylation kinetics of PhoB for the two strains immediately after the shift of bacteria to media containing an activating concentration (3 μM) of Pi, (Fig. 4C). This is consistent with the discovery that positive autoregulation often causes a delay of response (24, 25).

**The timing of expression of core genes in the PhoB regulon relates to their functions.** The PhoB affinity of different genes
the binding affinities, the concentration of active TF must be below the level that saturates promoter occupancy. When the concentration of active TF is sufficiently high to saturate TF occupancy on either high- or low-affinity promoters, the effect of binding affinity on expression levels can be easily masked and differences in affinities will not be manifested in gene expression levels.

Unlike engineered variants, PhoB-regulated promoters do not share identical promoter architectures, regulation modes, or ~10 sequences. Expression levels of these promoters showed no evident correlation with PhoB–P dissociation rates. Multiple factors may contribute to the absence of correlation. First, complex promoter architectures or alternative regulatory modes can complicate the regulation of gene expression (30, 31). Expression profiles of ugpB and phoB suggest additional repression mechanisms at high PhoB–P concentrations. Such repression causes a deviation of the expression level from that predicted by a simple occupancy model. An alternative overlapping promoter of the main ugpB promoter has been suggested to be inhibited by PhoB–P (32), while the repression mechanism for phoB has not been reported. Second, different promoters can recruit RNAP with different efficiencies. Mutations in the ~10 region have been shown to alter the reporter activities of phoE and pstS promoters (33, 34). Thus, different sequences in the ~10 regions of these promoters (see Table S2) may result in differences in the binding strength and recruitment efficiency of RNAP, enabling additional control of gene expression levels. Third, interaction with other regulators, such as the histone-like H-NS protein, could also interfere with TF occupancy and expression levels. It has been shown that gene ancestry and promoter architectures influence the silencing effects of histone-like nucleoid structuring (H-NS) on PhoP-regulated genes in Salmonella bacteria (35). Expression levels have been shown to be optimized for fitness (36), and cells have evolved many strategies to regulate expression levels. The binding affinity is not the sole factor affecting gene expression and does not always correlate with expression levels if other factors are in play.

Different binding affinities give rise to the temporal order of expression for the core set of genes controlled by PhoB. Similar mechanisms have been shown for the regulation of biofilm formation and sporulation by the Spo0A response regulator in Bacillus subtilis (37) and for virulence control by BvgA in Bordetella species (38), and several other TFs have been shown in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (39–41). Most of these TFs are involved in developmental pathways that often progress at a time scale of hours or even days. PhoB-regulated promoters displayed a much lower timing difference of only a few minutes between early and late genes. This is in agreement with the high rate of PhoB phosphorylation because the timing of expression is limited by the dynamics of TF activation. Similarly, the Salmonella enterica PhoP protein is phosphorylated at a rate comparable to that seen with PhoB, and PhoP-regulated genes also show a timing difference of minutes (35). Any factor that alters the rate of accumulation of active TF, such as the positive feedback for expression of phoB, can further fine tune or change the temporal pattern of gene expression (42).

The temporal order of gene expression has been found to correlate with the functional order of the corresponding gene products along a cascade of regulatory events (43, 44). It is very common for genes along a linear metabolic pathway to have ordered binding affinities to a TF, which allows an optimized sequential activation of genes (44, 45). No apparent functional cascade had been associated with PhoB-regulated genes until this study identified a correlation between expression timing and gene functions. Early genes include phoB itself, to set the pace of the response, and other genes involved in P_7 uptake, while late genes are involved in scavenging alternative phosphorus sources (Fig. 5B). Such temporal programming may represent a fundamental aspect of phosphorus utilization and appears well conserved across bacterial
species as indicated by the information content of PhoB sites in corresponding genes. A similar temporal order exists even for a completely different phosphate starvation pathway in budding yeast (41, 46). The gene encoding a high-affinity $P_i$ transporter contains strong sites for the TF Pho4 and is expressed earlier than genes encoding phosphate-scavenging proteins. The temporal order of gene expression may not always have an apparent correlation with gene functions as shown by the Salmonella PhoP regulon (35). Aside from the core set of genes involved in phosphorus assimilation, many other genes without obvious roles in phosphorus utilization are also regulated by PhoB (13) and it remains to be investigated whether a similar link between gene function and expression timing exists for these genes.

For laboratory batch cultures of bacteria, the benefit of an ~5-min difference in the timing of gene expression upon initiation of phosphate starvation is unclear. This timing difference reflects the different PhoB–$P_i$ levels required for activation of $P_i$ uptake and scavenging genes. Perhaps important to some environmental scenarios, the PhoB–$P_i$ levels also correspond to the strength of stimuli (Fig. 5B). Under conditions of extremely low $P_i$ PhoB is maximally phosphorylated to ensure the induction of all phosphate-responsive genes. Under conditions of less extremely low $P_i$, representing a weak stimulus for PhoB phosphorylation, increasing the expression of the $P_i$-specific transporter may allow sufficient $P_i$ uptake from the environment without the necessity to commit resources to make alkaline phosphatases and phosphate utilization proteins.

The temporal expression program of PhoB-regulated genes requires PhoB-binding sites with different affinities for PhoB–$P_i$. Our characterization of the PhoB-binding sites reveals a potential mechanism for tuning binding affinity. On the basis of the structure of the PhoB–DNA complex and the tandem symmetry, a 4-bp region flanking the conventional 18-bp PhoB-binding site is an integral part of a full PhoB-binding site and contributes to minor groove contacts by a wing of the winged-helix DNA-binding domain. Sequence changes within the 4-bp region can alter the binding affinity without changing the major-groove-contacting TGTCG tract that is important for binding specificity. Sequences are less conserved within this 4-bp region than in the rest of the 18-bp region. This highly variable region may represent the outcome of evolutionary selection for different binding affinities in different PhoB-regulated promoters to orchestrate the temporal program of gene expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions. Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3 in the supplemental material. DH5$\alpha$ and GM2929 were used for general cloning of plasmids, while all the strains used for in vivo assays were derived from BW25113. Because strain RU1616 does not express PhoB to higher levels, strain RU1783 was created by integration of pRG378 into the chromosome of RU1618 at the lambda phage attachment site using the reported recombination strategies (47). Reporter plasmids were constructed by inserting the PCR fragments containing corresponding promoters into pZG146, which has a promoterless $yfp$ gene. All reporters contained identical ribosome-binding sites. Details of strain and plasmid construction are described in Text S1. Bacteria were grown at 37°C in LB broth or in MOPS minimal media (48) with a 0.4% glucose and amino acid mix (40 μg/ml).

In vivo assays for reporter, PhoB expression, and phosphorylation levels. To achieve $P_i$ depletion and induce phosphate starvation responses, cells from fresh $P_i$-replete (1 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$) MOPS precultures were washed and inoculated in MOPS medium containing 50 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$. For the desired PhoB expression levels, different IPTG concentrations were used in precultures for protein induction and maintained in the media throughout the assay. For reporter assays, inoculated cultures were transferred to 96-well plates and continuously assayed for YFP fluorescence and optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) using a Varioskan plate reader (Thermo Scientific) with constant shaking. Similarly inoculated bulk cultures were grown for 3 to 4 h to measure PhoB expression and phosphorylation levels. As described previously (9), PhoB expression levels were measured using quantitative Western blot analyses with purified PhoB protein as the standard.

To examine the time-dependent responses, aliquots were removed at indicated time points to measure OD$_{600}$, $P_i$ concentration, and PhoB phosphorylation. Bacteria were harvested, and the supernatant was frozen for later measurement of PhoB concentrations. $P_i$ concentrations were measured with the ascorbic acid-molybdate method (49). Cell pellets equal to approximately 0.3 OD·ml of cells were immediately resuspended in 55 μl 1× BugBuster reagent (Novagen) for lysis followed by denaturation with 18 μl 4× SDS loading buffer. All samples were immediately flash frozen in dry ice-ethanol and later analyzed using Phos-tag gels as previously described (9). In order to compare phosphorylation dynamics between the WT and RU1783 strains, a different protocol was used because it is difficult to determine the exact time of phosphate starvation in cultures with an initial $P_i$ concentration of 50 μM. Instead, cells from $P_i$-replete cultures were washed with MOPS medium (30 to 50 μM $P_i$) two times and resuspended in MOPS medium (3 μM $P_i$). Aliquots were removed at different time points and analyzed for PhoB phosphorylation as described above.

Data processing of reporter profiles. Quantification of reporter activities and onset times depends on the identification of the switch point of cellular YFP fluorescence. To determine the switching time point of the fluorescence trace, the second-order local slopes of every five consecutive data points were computed to represent the second derivatives of the fluorescence levels. OD$_{600}$ measurements were subject to some variations, while traces of total cellular fluorescence were smooth enough to calculate the derivatives (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). Thus, total fluorescence, instead of OD-normalized fluorescence, was used for derivative analyses. YFP expression onset time calculated from total fluorescence traces appears to have a distribution comparable to that calculated from OD normalized fluorescence traces (see Fig. S4).

The time point for the maximal second derivative value was chosen as the starting point, and the YFP fluorescence 90 min after the starting point was normalized to OD$_{600}$ to represent the reporter activity. Because the exact peak of the second derivative does not always reside exactly at the experimental time point, the second derivatives were further differentiated to compute the point at which the third derivatives become zero, indicating the exact peaking point of the second derivatives. For reporter assays with the internal phoA-yfp reference, the difference between the YFP and CFP peaking points was used as the timing difference of gene expression. For assays lacking the internal reference, the time from an OD$_{600}$ of 0.08 to the peaking point was computed as the YFP expression onset time and was further compared to the mean onset time of phoA-yfp to calculate the difference. OD$_{600}$ measured in 96-well plates has a path length of less than 1 cm, and cells with an OD$_{600}$ of 0.08 have already entered the log phase. The arbitrary choice of reference points at different OD$_{600}$ levels within the log phase does not significantly alter the distribution of timing differences between promoters (data not shown).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays. DNA fragments containing corresponding promoters were generated by PCR using 5′-fluorescein-labeled primers, and PCR products were purified with QiAquick columns (Qiagen). Detailed sequences of the probes and primers used to generate them are listed in Text S1 in the supplemental material. Purified PhoB protein (35 μM) was phosphorylated at room temperature in phosphorylation buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$) with 50 mM phosphoramidate for at least 1 h. Phos-tag gel analyses confirmed that >90% of the PhoB protein was phosphorylated under this...
condition. Phosphorylation buffer containing the same concentration of phosphoramidate was mixed with the phosphorylated PhoB sample to yield approximately 0.1 μM fluorescent DNA fragments in binding buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 200 mM NaCl, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin [BSA], 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5% glycerol) at room temperature for 30 min. Nonfluorescent competitor DNA was added at a concentration of 15 μM using a previously annealed 27-bp double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) oligonucleotide unless specified otherwise. Samples were then electrophoresed on 12% Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) gels at 130 volt for 50 min on ice. DNA bands were visualized by fluorescence imaging using a FluorChem Q system (Alpha Innotech) and quantified with ImageJ (NIH).

**Bli assays.** DNA-binding kinetics were studied with an Octet RED96 Bio-layer interferometry instrument (forteBio) in kinetic buffer composed of the following ingredients: 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM phosphoramidate, 0.1% BSA, and 0.02% Tween 20. PhoB was phosphorylated for 1.5 h and diluted to a final concentration of 0.3 μM. DNA fragments were generated using a biotinylated primer in a manner similar to that described for the EMSAs and were immobilized on streptavidin biosensor tips followed by collection of Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) signals upon PhoB–P association and subsequent dissociation into the blank kinetic buffer. Dissociation kinetic data were extracted to fit with a single exponential decay, while the full range of binding data were fitted with a 1:1 model of association and dissociation functions.

**Calculation of information content.** A total of 21 PhoB-binding sites that had been validated by in vitro binding experiments (see Table S2 in the supplemental material) were aligned to generate either the 18-bp or 22-bp PWM. Information content (IC) scores (Rsequence) of individual sites were calculated using Bioword (50) and are indicated in bits. To evaluate IC of PhoB-binding sites across species, genes with distinct phosphorus assimilation functions were selected based on predicted orthologs and plasmid construction.
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