Molecular Basis of the Versatile Regulatory Mechanism of HtrA-Type Protease AlgW from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
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**ABSTRACT**  AlgW, a membrane-bound periplasmic serine protease belonging to the HtrA protein family, is a key regulator of the regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) pathway and is responsible for transmitting the envelope stress signals in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The AlgW PDZ domain senses and binds the C-terminal of mis-localized outer membrane proteins (OMPs) or periplasmic protein MucE, leading to catalytic activation of the protease domain. While AlgW is functionally well studied, its exact activation mechanism remains to be elucidated. Here, we show that AlgW is a novel HtrA protease that can be biochemically activated by both peptide and lipid signals. Compared with the corresponding homologue DegS in *Escherichia coli*, AlgW exhibits a distinct substrate specificity and regulation mechanism. Structural, biochemical, and mutagenic analyses revealed that, by specifically binding to the C-terminal decapeptide of MucE, AlgW could adopt more relaxed conformation and obtain higher activity than with tripeptide activation. We also investigated the regulatory mechanism of the LA loop in AlgW and proved that the unique structural feature of this region was responsible for the distinct enzymatic property of AlgW. These results demonstrate the unique and diverse activation mechanism of AlgW, which *P. aeruginosa* may utilize to enhance its adaptability to environmental stress.

**IMPORTANCE**  HtrA-family proteases are commonly employed to sense the protein folding stress and activate the regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) cascade in Gram-negative bacteria. Here, we reveal the unique dual-signal activation and dynamic regulation properties of AlgW, an HtrA-type protease triggering the AlgU stress-response pathway, which controls alginate production and mucoid conversion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The structural and functional data offer insights into the molecular basis underlying the transition of different activation states of AlgW in response to different effectors. Probing these unique features provides an opportunity to correlate the diverse regulation mechanism of AlgW with the high adaptability of *P. aeruginosa* to environmental changes during infection.
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Regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) is a fundamentally conserved mechanism for transmembrane signal transduction in prokaryotes and eukaryotes (1, 2). A typical RIP process is characterized by sequential cleavage of a membrane-spanning
precursor that modulates downstream signaling cascades in which a membrane-bound site-1 protease (S1P) initiates proteolytic action on the external side of the precursor protein, and a membrane-embedded site-2 protease (S2P) is responsible for subsequent cleavage on its transmembrane segment (3). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogenic bacterium, possesses a functional MucA-RIP system composed of precursor MucA and its periplasmic partners MucB, S1P AlgW, S2P MucP, and cytoplasmic ClpXP protease (4). This signaling cascade plays crucial roles in response to extracellular stresses and governs mucoid conversion, flagellum synthesis, cell envelope homeostasis, and virulence genes expression (5).

Amino acid sequence analysis showed that AlgW was homologous to *Escherichia coli* DegS and belonged to the HtrA-family (6). HtrA protease is characterized by its trypsin-like catalytic domain and regulatory PDZ domain; the catalytic triad was surrounded by several loops (L1, L2, L3, L4, L5) but, usually, in an inactive mode, and could be activated by sensing a specific molecular stimulus (7). PDZ domains serve as protein sensors that allow RIP systems to respond to the accumulated stress signals in periplasm, including mis-localized outer membrane protein OMPs and exfoliated lipids (8, 9). Previous structural studies revealed that the PDZ domain of DegS functions as an inhibitory element by interacting with L3 of the protease domain, whereas PDZ provides a binding site for the YxF signature of activating peptides (10). Compared with DegS, AlgW displayed different recognition specificities toward the OMP C-terminal motif, and its PDZ domain has indispensable roles in both repressing and activating proteolytic activity (6, 11). Such differences raise questions as to what is the structural basis underlying the distinct properties of AlgW. Does there exist any other specific features of AlgW, and what impacts do those features have on MucA-RIP system of *P. aeruginosa*?

In this study, we found that both MucA and MucB were subjected to AlgW cleavage. Notably, in addition to providing the molecular basis of the unique peptide activation mechanism, we demonstrated that the lipid molecule could stimulate AlgW activity as well, indicating a dual signal amplification mechanism of AlgW in the MucA-RIP system. Furthermore, the combination of peptide and lipid resulted in a synergistic effect. In order to gain insights into the structural features of AlgW, we determined a set of crystal structures of peptide-bound AlgW representing different activation states. Structural analysis showed that the significant conformation changes of AlgW, especially the PDZ domain and L3 loop, were associated with the peptide effector binding. Mutagenesis and biochemical analysis further evidenced that the unique features of AlgW are important regulation mechanisms and are essential for the biological functions of the MucA-RIP system. These findings provide a basis to understand the distinct properties of AlgW, which may reflect a rapid action mechanism of envelope stress responses in *P. aeruginosa*.

**RESULTS**

**Cleavage of MucA and MucB by AlgW in response to the dual stimuli.** The MucA-RIP system is homologous to the *E. coli* RseA-RIP system (12). Correspondingly, AlgW, MucA, and MucB are analogous to DegS, RseA, and RseB, respectively. MucB/RseB can form a heterodimeric complex with MucA/RseA to suppress the S1P (AlgW/DegS) cleavage, while the accumulated periplasmic lipopolysaccharide (LPS) would prevent the complex formation by directly interacting with the lipid-binding domains of MucB/RseB (9, 13). However, unlike DegS, which only acts on RseA but not RseB, AlgW in the MucA-RIP system can recognize and degrade both MucA and MucB (13). In order to investigate the cleavage specificity of AlgW, we mixed MucB with the soluble AlgW (residues 30 to 389, without the N-terminal transmembrane segments), supplying decapeptide SVRDELRWVF (MucE C-terminal tail) as the activator, and degraded MucB bands were observed after 30 min of incubation (Fig. 1A). In contrast, no proteolysis was noticed in the DegS-RseB group when it was supplied with decapeptide DNRDGNVYYF (14) (Fig. 1B). In our previous work (9, 13), when the MucA/MucB
Degradation of MucA and MucB by AlgW in response to the peptides and lipid signals. (A and B) MucB/RseB (130 μM) was cleaved by AlgW/DegS (25 μM) in the presence of different agonist combinations, including lipid-A (100 μM), lipid-like detergent DDM (400 μM), decapptide SVRDELWRF (100 μM), and decapptide combined with lipid-A or DDM. (C and D) MucB/RseB-protected MucAperi/RseAperi degradation by AlgW/DegS in the presence of different lengths of the activating peptides in a time-dependent manner. MucAperi (125 μM), AlgW (25 μM), MucB (130 μM), activation peptides (tripeptide WVF or decapeptide SVRDELRWVF, 100 μM), and lipid-A (100 μM) were incubated at 37°C in a time-dependent manner in buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). Similarly, RseAperi (125 μM) was cleaved by DegS (25 μM) in the presence of RseB (130 μM), activation peptides (tripeptide YYF or decapeptide DNRDGNVYYF, 100 μM), and lipid-A (100 μM) at 37°C in a time-dependent manner in a phosphate buffer containing 50 mM NaHPO4 (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 4 mM EDTA. (E and F) MucAperi/RseAperi was cleaved by AlgW/DegS in the presence of different agonist combinations in a time-dependent manner. MucAperi (125 μM), AlgW (25 μM), activation decapeptide SVRDELRWVF (100 μM), and lipid-A (100 μM) were incubated at 37°C in a time-dependent manner in buffer. Similarly, RseAperi (125 μM) degradation by DegS (25 μM) took place in the presence of RseB (130 μM), activation decapeptide DNRDGNVYYF (100 μM), and lipid-A (100 μM) at 37°C for a time-dependent manner in a phosphate buffer.
complex, AlgW, peptide agonist (for AlgW activation), and lipid/detergent (to antagonize MucA-MucB association [9, 13]) were mixed to reconstitute the proteolysis events of the MucA, we observed that AlgW could be activated by lipid-A (LPS glycolipid moiety) or detergent DDM (N-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside) (Fig. 1A). It should be noted that lipid could significantly enhance the decapeptide activation effect on AlgW and hence promoted the cleavage of MucB, implying a synergistic effect between the two types of effector. Collectively, the above-described results suggest that AlgW possesses distinct properties that are likely to contribute to the unique character of the *P. aeruginosa* MucA-RIP pathway.

In order to compare the effects of lipid molecules on AlgW/DegS during the MucA/RseA proteolysis process and to figure out whether the length of the activating peptide might account for any of the observed differences, we repeated the peptide/lipid-regulated S1P cleavage experiments *in vitro*. The periplasmic domain of MucA (MucAperi) and RseA (RseAperi) in complex with MucB and RseB, respectively, were subjected to tripeptide or decapeptide activated AlgW/DegS cleavage. Lipid-A was added in each series of experiments, and the results were analyzed in the time scale of minutes (Fig. 1C and D). The results confirmed that both tripeptide and decapeptide could stimulate AlgW activity, while AlgW supplied with decapeptide exhibited much higher activity than that supplied with tripeptide (Fig. 1C). However, DegS showed no obvious difference between different peptide activation assays (Fig. 1D). This result indicates that AlgW is, in principle, similar to DegS in respect to peptide activation, but it has a different regulation mechanism. Simultaneously, specific cleavage of MucB was observed after extensive AlgW digestion, suggesting that AlgW possesses broader substrate specificity than DegS.

Subsequent MucAperi/RseAperi degradation experiments confirmed the unique effects of peptide and lipid signals on AlgW (Fig. 1E); in contrast, lipid-A did not display obvious direct or cooperative activation on DegS (Fig. 1F). Additionally, the time-dependent measurements also revealed that peptide had more profound effects than lipid, implying distinct action mechanisms of different types of agonists.

**Investigating the dual signal activation of AlgW using a fluorescence-based quantitative assay.** Based on the identified MucA and MucB cleavage sites for AlgW (6, 13), self-quenching fluorogenic tetrapeptides derived from 134-VLAG-137 of MucA (Abz-VLAG-pNA), 211-TVAW-214 of MucB (Abz-TVAW-pNA), and alanine tetrapeptide (Abz-AAAA-pNA) were synthesized and subjected to real-time measurement of AlgW proteolytic activity in the presence of excessive peptides (10 mM) (Fig. 2A). Compared with the negative-control group (using Abz-AAAA-pNA substrate), both Abz-VLAG-pNA and Abz-TVAW-pNA could be efficiently cleaved by AlgW. We found that AlgW showed an allosteric response to changes in MucB substrate peptide concentrations, with Hill constants of 1.25 for tripeptide activation and 1.26 for decapeptide activation (Fig. 2A, Table S1). However, the binding of MucA substrate peptide to AlgW was only positively cooperative in the presence of tripeptide but exhibited significant allosteric effects upon decapeptide activation (Hill constant near 1), suggesting that the allosteric property of AlgW is linked to a specific activator and substrate (Table S1). Considering that MucA is the major target of AlgW, substrate Abz-VLAG-pNA and decapeptide activator SVRDELRWVF were selected to establish a real-time AlgW assay to investigate the kinetic mechanism.

Next, we tested the synergistic effect of lipid-A and DDM (Fig. 2B). Consistent with the results in Fig. 1, peptide effector alone and a dual effector combination both exhibited enhancing effects on AlgW, in which the apparent maximum rate of metabolism ($V_{\max}$) values of the dual effector activation were 1.9- to 2.8-fold higher than that of decapeptide activation (Fig. 2B, Table S2). The catalytic efficiency of different groups was similar ($K_{m}/V_{\max}$ around 10 to 125 min$^{-1}$ μM$^{-1}$) because the apparent $K_{m}$ values were proportionally associated with the $V_{\max}$. Consistently, MucA substrate peptide did not exhibit obvious allosteric binding to AlgW when lipid effector was added; therefore, decapeptide-activated AlgW cleavage on Abz-VLAG-pNA could be described by a Michaelis-Menten equation (Table S2). Meanwhile, considering that the low purity and
solubility of lipid-A may influence the measurement, we used DDM as a lipid signal substitute in the following quantitative assays.

In the presence of a fixed amount of AlgW and saturated substrate, we measured the initial rates of AlgW at various concentrations of decapeptide or DDM (Fig. 2C).

DDM only exhibited low activation effects but positive cooperativity, where the maximal cleavage activity was about 67.82 ± 6.3 mU min⁻¹, with a half-maximal activation concentration of 92.02 ± 12.44 μM and a Hill constant of 1.43 (Table S3). In comparison, decapeptide was more efficient for AlgW activation, which largely increased the cleavage rate up to 168.5 ± 8.27 mU min⁻¹ (about 2.7-fold higher than the maximal activated rate by DDM) at high decapeptide concentrations. Meanwhile, the much smaller half-maximal activation concentration (2.12 ± 0.33 μM) indicates tighter interaction of decapeptide to AlgW, and the Hill constant of 0.94 suggests no allosteric effect on decapeptide binding. We also analyzed the synergistic relationship between the two types of activators (Fig. 2C, Table S3); a significant increase of cleavage rates

FIG 2 Determination of enzyme apparent kinetic parameters of dual-signal-activated AlgW. (A) Activity assay of tripeptide or decapeptide-activated AlgW using the quenched fluorescent substrates Abz-VLAG-pNA, Abz-TVAW-pNA, and Abz-AAAA-pNA. All assays were placed in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl at 37°C for 1 h by adding 100 μM substrate, 200 nM AlgW, and 10 mM agonist peptides (tripeptide WVF or decapeptide SVRDELRWVF). (B) The apparent Michaelis-Menten parameters of AlgW cleavage quenched fluorescent substrates Abz-VLAG-pNA (100 μM) under the condition of peptide or lipid activation. (C) The activity assay of peptide or DDM activated AlgW using the saturated quenched fluorescent substrates Abz-VLAG-pNA. Under the gradient of peptide or DDM concentration, 100 μM substrate and 200 nM AlgW were coincubated with or without 400 μM DDM or 10 mM agonist peptides in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl at 37°C for 1 h. The final assay volume of all experiments was 100 μl, and the appearance of fluorescent products was monitored at 60-s intervals at fluorescence wavelengths of λex = 310 nm and λem = 420 nm. All data points were representative of three independent determinations and were simulated with solid lines through the formula of Y = Vmax · X^h/((Kcat^h · X^h) + X^h) or Y = Vmax · X^h/ Kcat^h · X^h + X^h). The Kcat for the AlgW trimer was calculated by Kcat = Vmax/(E_total), (E_total) = 200/3 nM trimers. Error bars represent the standard deviation.
was observed when adding another type of activator in the reaction system, indicating that the peptide and lipid signals have additive effects to each other. At the same time, DDM did not significantly alter the half-maximal activation concentration and Hill constant of decapeptide, implying that peptide and lipid signals have different interaction patterns toward AlgW.

Crystal structures of AlgW in complex with peptide agonists. In order to elucidate the structural basis of the AlgW reaction mechanism, we prepared the periplasmic soluble domain of AlgW and its inactive mutant AlgWS227A. Protein samples were mixed with two types of peptide activator (tripeptide WVF, decapeptide SVRDERWVF) prior to crystallization trials, yielding four complex structures, AlgW-tripeptide, AlgWS227A-tripeptide, AlgW-decapeptide, and AlgWS227A-decapeptide, with resolutions from 1.8 to 2.6 Å (Table 1). AlgW-tripeptide and AlgWS227A-tripeptide crystals belong to the P6322 space group. They have only one complex in an asymmetric unit, and their overall structures are similar (the root mean square deviation [RMSD] value was 1.07 Å). The AlgW trimer could be generated by symmetry operation, and similar to most HtrA protease, this funnel-like trimeric organization is mainly mediated by the N-terminal protease domains (Fig. 3A). The trimeric structures are also found in AlgW-decapeptide and AlgWS227A-decapeptide, but they are assembled into higher oligomers (Fig. 3B). In AlgW-decapeptide, each asymmetric unit contains a DegP-like hexamer that is stacked by two interlocked basic trimers arranged in a face-to-face manner (15), whereas the intertrimer contacts are stabilized by the long L1 loop extending from the protease domain into the PDZ domain of another trimer. AlgWS227A-decapeptide could even generate a DegQ-like “cage” architecture after symmetry operation (16, 17);

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Data collection and structure refinement statistics of peptide-bound AlgW structures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein Data Bank code</td>
<td>AlgW-Tripeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 63 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.97891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average I/σ (I)</td>
<td>24.67 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rsym (%)</td>
<td>0.143 (0.821)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement (%)</td>
<td>99.86 (99.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2</td>
<td>1.05 (0.243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%)</td>
<td>1.004 (0.626)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>26.5 (21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections</td>
<td>19,460 (1,891)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork/Rfree</td>
<td>0.2314/0.2530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.80 (98.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.004 (0.626)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>71.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TLS groups</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell. Rfree is the unweighted R value on I between symmetry mates. Rfree is calculated analogously for the test reflections, randomly selected and excluded from the refinement. RMSD, root mean square deviation.
**FIG 3** Peptide-bound AlgW structures. (A) Structures and funnel-like trimeric organization of peptide-bound AlgW. Side view of the trimer illustrating the relative orientation of AlgW in the periplasm. (B) The oligomeric structure of AlgW-tripeptide/AlgWS227A-tripeptide, AlgWS227A-decapeptide, was generated by symmetry operation. Each monomer in all structures was displayed in magenta, blue, and cyan. (C) The overall structures of decapeptide-bound AlgW. The decapptide bound in AlgW was displayed as red cartoon, and the catalytic triad (H123, D153 and S227) sites surrounded by activation loops (L1-L3, LD, and L3A) were displayed as red sticks. (D) Structural comparison of the protease domains of peptide-bound AlgW structures. The LA loop in tripeptide-bound AlgW structures cannot be modeled. The joint angle of the LA loop in two sets of decapeptide-bound AlgW structures was calculated using UCSF ChimeraX (http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimerax/index.html), which was 7.65°. (E) Structural comparison of the protease domains of AlgW-decapeptide and DegS structures. L2 and L3 of AlgW adopt inward conformations compared with those of DegS structures. The catalytic triad sites are displayed as sticks. (F) Structural variations of peptide-bound AlgW structures. The joint angle between the PDZ and the protease domain was defined between the longest inertial vectors of each domain, which was calculated using UCSF ChimeraX. The calculated joint angles in the structures of AlgW-tripeptide, AlgWS227A-tripeptide, AlgW-decapeptide and AlgWS227A-decapeptide were 25.62°, 25.74°, 26.13°, and 26.65°.
the dodecamer of soluble AlgW contains four trimers, with the 12 L₄ loops protruding toward the interior of the cage (Fig. 3B). L₄-mediated higher oligomerization is an important regulation mechanism in the HtrA family (18, 19); however, very few close contacts are observed between trimers in AlgW-decapeptide and AlgWS227A-decapeptide structures, indicating that crystal packing may, in fact, dominate the above-described intertrimer associations. In addition, AlgW is an inner membrane-anchored protease; because of the spatial restrictions, it appears to function as a trimer in vivo (Fig. 3A and B).

The overall structure of AlgW consists of 18 β-slices and 7 α-helices (Fig. 3C). The core structure of the AlgW protease domain adopts a typical trypsin fold consisting of two perpendicular β-barrels (β1 to β7, β8 to β13) flanked by two α-helices (α2, α3). The catalytic triad (H123, D153, and S227) sites are located between two β-barrels and are surrounded by activation loops L₁ to L₄, L₀, and L₄ (named according to DegS nomenclature [20–22]). The majority of the active loops (e.g., L₁, L₂, L₃, and L₀) except the L₄ loop are ordered in a uniform conformation in all of the four peptide-bound AlgW structures (Fig. 3D). L₃ and L₄ of AlgW adopt inward conformations compared with those of DegS structures, which stabilized the local conformation of catalytic sites (Fig. 3E). The PDZ domain of AlgW has 5 β-strands (β14 to β18) and 3 α-helices (α5, α6, and α7); agonist peptides bind to the groove composed of β14 and α7 and form an antiparallel β-sheet with β14 (Fig. 3C). Unlike the relatively rigid core structure of the protease domain, PDZ domains exhibit conformational plasticity among individual AlgW subunits, resulting in RMSD values of 1.40 to 1.75 Å and different domain arrangements (Fig. 3F).

Specific peptide recognition and regulation mechanism of AlgW. The PDZ domain is well known as a regulatory module recognizing hydrophobic residues at the C terminus of targeting proteins (21, 23). For most PDZ-binding peptides, positions 0 and −2 primarily determine the binding specificities by interacting with the “G-X-G motif” at one end of the α/β groove (24, 25). AlgW and DegS share similar hydrophobic environments to accommodate the large side chains of aromatic residues 0 and −2 (for instance, WVF for AlgW and YQF for DegS) in peptide activators (Fig. 4A) (20). However, there are different compositions and sizes of residues in the corresponding pockets in the PDZ domain of AlgW and DegS, which would account for why AlgW but not DegS allows larger tryptophan residues to fit in (11, 26).

Systematic studies of PDZ-ligand interactions have revealed that positions other than 0 to −2 may also contribute to the peptide-binding specificities (27, 28). Accordingly, AlgW has its second Gly of the “G-X-G” motif replaced by E285, which constrains the size of the −1 residue in peptide ligand (11). Compared with the tripeptide-bound AlgW, decapeptide has more proximal contacts to PDZ, inducing side chain rotation on W281 and thus allowing repositioning of the Y311 (Fig. 4B). Additionally, decapeptide-bound AlgW illustrates specific charge-charge interactions such as −3R to E285 and −5E to K287, which enhances the peptide ligand selectivity (Fig. 4B). The specific peptide-binding features of AlgW were further verified by mutagenesis and activity assay (Table 2). As expected, alanine substitutions on sites W281, L282, and V284 that were involved in the hydrophobic interaction with the C terminus of peptide activator all led to a dramatic decrease in the cleavage rate (Fig. 2). The increased half-maximum activation concentration in L282A and V284A verified the importance of the hydrophobic pocket which accommodates the OF. Similarly, E285A and K287A also reduced activity and influenced peptide binding, which confirmed the contribution of the additional charge contacts to peptide-binding specificity. Importantly, mutations on the opposite side of the peptide-binding groove (M342A and R374A) almost abolished the peptide-induced protease activity (Table 2); the explanation is that these sites not only stabilize the anchored peptide but also mediate the domain-domain interactions (Fig. 4B).

Unlike DegS, whose PDZ is not required for protease catalytic triad restructuring (26, 29), the PDZ domain in AlgW was indispensable for activity (6) (Fig. S1), implying...
The peptide-binding pocket and domain interactions of AlgW and DegS. (A and B) The interactions of agonist peptides with AlgW/DegS. The key residues in the peptide-binding pockets are displayed as sticks. Peptides are displayed as thick sticks. (C and D) The domain-domain interactions in which the peptide binds to PDZ and mediates the activation of the catalytic triad. The key residues mediating the domain-domain interactions are displayed as sticks.

FIG 4  The peptide-binding pocket and domain interactions of AlgW and DegS. (A and B) The interactions of agonist peptides with AlgW/DegS. The key residues in the peptide-binding pockets are displayed as sticks. Peptides are displayed as thick sticks. (C and D) The domain-domain interactions in which the peptide binds to PDZ and mediates the activation of the catalytic triad. The key residues mediating the domain-domain interactions are displayed as sticks. (Continued on next page)
different roles of PDZ in AlgW and DegS. As shown in Fig. 3D, L3 and L are located closer to the $\beta_6$ to $\beta_7$ turn (where the active residue D153 is located) in AlgW than to that in DegS; AlgW requires interdomain interactions such as R374-E151 and R279-D149 to stabilize the $\beta_6$ to $\beta_7$ turn conformation (Fig. 4C and D). It should be noted that R279 and D149 are highly conserved among AlgW/DegS homologues, but the R374-E151 pair is only observed in the AlgW-like group and is substituted by a hydrophobic contact (Y351 and L124 in *E. coli* DegS) (Fig. 4D and E). R186 of DegS is replaced by Y212 in AlgW to stabilize the interdomain contacts, but Y212 could form extrahydrophobic interactions with M342 and strengthen the association (Fig. 4C and D).

Another difference between AlgW and DegS could be found at $\alpha_4$-$\alpha_7$ contacts, in which AlgW forms one salt bridge by E266 and R347 while DegS has more residues (K247, K243, D320, E324) to facilitate the charge-charge attraction in this region (Fig. 4D). Based on the structural analysis, we further introduced Ala substitutions on D149, E151, Y212, E266, R279, and R347 (Table 2). All of those mutants showed significantly reduced activation of AlgW without seriously impairing the peptide activator binding (Table 2). These biochemical results are consistent with and support our analyses of the critical residues involved in interdomain association. Therefore, the PDZ-peptide binding of AlgW also relieves the inhibitory effect of PDZ, but slightly different with DegS, it has to cooperate with the interdomain contacts to convert and maintain the catalytic triad into active conformation.

The unique extended L$_\alpha$ loop provides a switching mechanism to regulate AlgW function. The L$_\alpha$ loop is known to be an essential regulatory element of HtrA family proteins (19, 30). Because of the high flexibility, our AlgW-tripeptide and AlgW$_S_{227A}$-tripeptide structures, as well as many HtrA protease structures, lack the L$_\alpha$ loops (30). Fortunately, L$_\alpha$ loops could be reliably modeled in AlgW-decapeptide and AlgW$_S_{227A}$-decapeptide structures, allowing us to explore the conformational regulation mode of the L$_\alpha$ loop (Fig. 3D). Sequence alignment reveals that the L$_\alpha$ loop of the AlgW-like group is much longer than that of the DegS-like group (Fig. 4E). The AlgW mutation with the deletion of the L$_\alpha$ loop (regions 76 to 97) totally lost the protease activity (Table 3), which verified the importance of the L$_\alpha$ loop in AlgW function. However, when we replaced this L$_\alpha$ loop with the corresponding region (63 to 78) of

---

**TABLE 2** Apparent activation parameters of AlgW and mutants$^a,b$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutants</th>
<th>Maximal cleavage activity ($\mu$M min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Half-maximal activation concn ($\mu$M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The residues in peptide binding pockets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW</td>
<td>165.4 ± 4.46</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-W281A</td>
<td>10.32 ± 1.51</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-L282A</td>
<td>13.24 ± 1.59</td>
<td>2.76 ± 1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-V284A</td>
<td>63.13 ± 3.82</td>
<td>3.56 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-E285A</td>
<td>57.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>3.84 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-K287A</td>
<td>49.42 ± 3.59</td>
<td>6.53 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-M342A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-R374A</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.68</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The residues involved in domain-domain interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-D149A</td>
<td>8.16 ± 0.85</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-E151A</td>
<td>34.93 ± 1.87</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-Y212A</td>
<td>39.46 ± 6.17</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-E266A</td>
<td>60.51 ± 5.07</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-R279A</td>
<td>26.87 ± 3.21</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-R347A</td>
<td>63.6 ± 8.42</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

$^a$Apparent activation parameters were determined using the formula of Michaelis-Menten ($Y = V_{max} \cdot X / (K_m + X)$); the parameters listed are the means of three independent determinations.

$^b$NA, no detectable activity; ND, not determined.

---

FIG 4 Legend (Continued)

sticks. E. Multisequence alignment (generated using Espript 3.0; <http://esprit.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/>) of AlgW/DegS homologues. The residues in the peptide-binding pocket of AlgW and DegS are shaded in cyan, and the catalytic triad (H123, D153, and S227 in AlgW, H96, and D126 and S201 in DegS) sites are shaded in red. The conserved residues involved in domain-domain interactions are shaded in yellow.
Table 3 Apparent Michaelis-Menten parameters of AlgW and mutants\(^{a,b}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutants</th>
<th>(V_{\text{max}}) ((\mu\text{M} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}))</th>
<th>(K_{\text{m}}) ((\mu\text{M}))</th>
<th>(K_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}) ((\mu\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AlgW</td>
<td>181.9 ± 5.48</td>
<td>46.44 ± 4.35</td>
<td>58.75 ± 1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-D(_{\text{LA}}) (76–97)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-DegS-L(_{\text{L}}) (63–78)</td>
<td>38.59 ± 2.39</td>
<td>11.99 ± 2.85</td>
<td>48.27 ± 2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-DegS-L(_{\text{L}}) (63–78)-(\Delta\PDZ)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-T(_{71})A</td>
<td>154.4 ± 6.43</td>
<td>48.29 ± 6.19</td>
<td>75.96 ± 1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-T(_{72})A</td>
<td>766.2 ± 54.15</td>
<td>86.69 ± 15.8</td>
<td>132.58 ± 9.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-K(_{73})A</td>
<td>187.8 ± 39.36</td>
<td>247.9 ± 93.04</td>
<td>13.16 ± 2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-L(_{106})A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-(\text{TTK}(71–73))A</td>
<td>1429 ± 99.69</td>
<td>125.1 ± 19.87</td>
<td>171.34 ± 11.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgW-E(_{55}(103–105))A</td>
<td>873 ± 60.23</td>
<td>123.1 ± 19.44</td>
<td>106.38 ± 7.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Apparent Michaelis-Menten parameters were determined using the formula of Michaelis-Menten [\(Y = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_{\text{m}}}X\) \((K_{\text{m}} + X)\); the parameters listed are the means of three independent determinations. \(K_{\text{m}}\) for the AlgW trimer was calculated by \(K_{\text{m}} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{E_{\text{total}}}\) and \((E_{\text{total}}) = 200/3 \text{nM trimers}\). All solid lines are a fit to the equation rate. Error bars represent standard deviation.

\(^{b}\)NA, no detectable activity.

DegS, it only restored nearly 80% of the catalytic efficiency (apparent \(K_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}\) value calculated from Table 3), demonstrating the distinct regulation effects of the \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop in different HtrA proteins.

By comparing the two sets of decapetide-bound structures, we found that the \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop shows joint angle shifts of about 7.65 degrees (Fig. 3D). It seems that the \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop in the AlgWS227A-decapetide structure represents the major state of \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\), because similar to the \(\text{L}_{\text{B}}\) loops in tripeptide-bound structures, they all need to stabilize the antiparallel \(\beta_1\)-\(\beta_4\) sheet by forming main-chain hydrogen between D129-Q130 and T72 and maintain the orientation of \(\beta_1\) through the weak interaction between T71 and V124 (Fig. 5A). In the AlgW-decapetide structure, T72 forms side chain hydrogen interactions with D130 to maintain the \(\beta_1\)-\(\beta_4\) association, while in \(\beta_2\), the side chain flipping of L106 not only disrupted T71-V124 interaction but also changed the dihedral angles of S105, leading \(\beta_2\) to form a long antiparallel sheet with \(\beta_1\). Additionally, K73 and E103 also altered their side chain orientations to adapt to the conformational changes (Fig. 5B). Eventually, these structural variations released the \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop outward and exposed the active center.

According to the above-described analysis, the whole \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop movement would affect the active site architecture, and residues connecting the \(\text{L}_{\text{B}}\) loop and neighbor \(\beta\) sheets are critical for this structural regulation. However, except for Y70 and L106 (corresponding to Y62 and L79, respectively, in DegS), the rest of the residues are not conserved between AlgW and DegS (Fig. 5C). Single-site or multiple-site mutations on T71-K73 and E103-S105 all increased the \(\text{V}_{\text{max}}\) but also the \(K_{\text{m}}\) values, especially the T72A and the triple-site mutations, which exhibited 4- to 8-fold enhanced \(\text{V}_{\text{max}}\) (Table 3). These observations suggest that the above-described alanine substitutions break the structural constraints and allow the \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop more flexibility in the conformation transition. Therefore, we may conclude that the distinctive \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) motion associates with its different residue compositions among HtrA proteases; meanwhile, the switching between the alternative conformations of \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) loop is a key mechanism in AlgW activity regulation.

Versatile regulation of AlgW is responsible for the efficient \(P.\ aeruginosa\) mucoid conversion. The essential roles of AlgW in \(P.\ aeruginosa\) alginate synthesis and biofilm formation have been implicated in several reports (4, 11). In order to assess the biological functions of the unique features of AlgW on \(P.\ aeruginosa\), three groups of AlgW mutations corresponding to peptide activator binding groove (AlgW-L282A, AlgW-M342A), domain-domain interaction sites (AlgW-D149A, AlgW-E151A), specific \(\text{L}_{\text{A}}\) sites [AlgW-\(\Delta\text{L}_{\text{LA}}\) (76–97)], AlgW-\(\text{TTK}(71–73)\)A were recombined into plasmid pME6032 and supplemented into PAO1-\(\Delta\text{algW}\) strain. Then we determined the biochemical indexes of the PAO1-\(\Delta\text{algW}\) strain and mutation strains; the results showed that almost all the mutations [except for the mutation of \(\Delta\text{algW}+\text{pME6032-}\text{algW-}\text{TTK}(71–73)\)A led...
to a 2.7- to 4.8-fold decrease of alginate secretion and a 1.7- to 2.2-fold reduced biofilm formation (Fig. 6). Those data verified the regulatory role of key sites of algW in physiological processes. It is worth noting that the AlgW-TTK(71-73)A supplement strain showed a 1.2-fold increase in alginate secretion, which was consistent with our structural findings (Table 3) that the LA loop mobility is critical for AlgW activity regulation.

To sum up, the results of mutagenesis and functional studies were consistent with the biological data, which provides a significant proof-effort for explaining the important function of AlgW protease in the diversity of envelope stress responses and physiological regulation.

**DISCUSSION**

Cooperative activation of AlgW by peptide and lipid effectors. Off-pathway OMPs and LPS in periplasm are implicated in the initiation of the RIP system in Gram-negative bacteria (9). Previous studies have revealed the activation effect of OMP C termini on HtrA-type S1P and the antagonizing mechanism of LPS on heterodimeric anti-sigma factor complex (13). In this article, we biochemically demonstrated that *P. aeruginosa* S1P AlgW could be activated by either peptide or lipid (Fig. 1). The two distinctive activators showed additive effects to each other, suggesting at least two different mechanisms may be involved in this action (Fig. 1 and 2). Since the lipid-A activation was not observed in *E. coli* DegS, the dual molecular activation of AlgW represents a novel regulation mechanism for specific HtrA-type proteases. The synergistic binding between peptides and lipids has been found in many PDZ-containing proteins, and the protein-lipid interaction exerts functions such as localization, signaling, and regulation of activity (31–33). Although there is no consensus lipid-binding site on PDZ-containing proteins, previous studies have suggested that the PDZ domain was the dual-effector-binding module and that the lipid-binding site was usually located close to the peptide-binding groove, providing a structural basis for the potential cross-talks between effectors (8, 34, 35). AlgW is the first example of lipid-interacting HtrA protein found in the bacterial RIP pathway; this finding expands our understanding of the diverse enzymatic and regulation characteristics of S1P protease. Our
structural and biochemical data (Fig. 3 to 5) provide a rational basis for future studies exploring the detailed mechanism and functions of synergistic activation of HtrA protease by different signals.

Variation in peptide activator length accounts for the alternative activation states. The HtrA family protease catalyzes the rate-limiting step in ECF activation in response to envelope-stress; OMP-peptide binding is the common mechanism for S1P activation (6). However, despite the variations between AlgW and DegS on the recognition of the C-terminal tripeptide motif in OMPs, AlgW possesses additional sites for anchoring charged residues preceding the hydrophobic tail (Fig. 4). Intriguingly, AlgW showed a more pronounced activation effect when binding to a longer peptide activator; structure data also indicated that decapeptide-bound AlgW was relatively more stable than the tripeptide-bound structure (Fig. 3 and 4). This result is consistent with the fact that decapetide had a higher binding affinity to AlgW than tripeptide (Fig. S2). Mutations on E285 or K287 resulted in an increased half-maximum activation concentration for decapeptide and dramatically reduced catalytic capacity of AlgW (Table 2), supporting that the additional binding sites are specific and essential for high-affinity binding of the long peptide activator.

Previous studies have revealed that PDZ domain movements intimately regulated the conformational equilibrium of the DegS active site and the PDZ domain orientations associated with distinct activation states (26). Consistently, AlgW-peptide bond structures also demonstrated different interdomain orientations, in which the joint angles of the PDZ relative to the protease domain are AlgW-WVF (25.62°) < AlgW527A-WVF (25.74°) < AlgW-decapeptide (25.47 to 26.48°; average, 26.13°) < AlgW527A-decapeptide (26.65°) (Fig. 3F). Thus, by recognizing additional C-terminal residues of the targeting protein, the PDZ domain of AlgW adopts a more open form and maintains a more stable state, generating stronger substrate

FIG 6 Effect of algW knockout and mutants on alginate production and biofilm formation. (A) Alginate production of the ΔalgW; complementation transformed with plasmid pME6032-algW and variants strain compared with that of the wild-type PAO1. Alginate was measured, and the amount of uronic acid in comparison with a standard curve made with α-mannuronic acid lactone was determined. Each bar represents the mean of three independent measurements (± standard error of the mean [SEM]). (B) Biofilm formation of the ΔalgW and a ΔalgW-pME6032-algW complementation strain compared with that of the wild-type PAO1. Quantification of biofilm biomass via crystal-violet staining and A570 was measured using a microplate reader. Data are shown as the change relative to PAO1 and represent three independent experiments. A one-way ANOVA statistical test with equal variances was conducted. The following results were considered significant: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001.
cleavage activity. This is in accordance with our enzymatic assay in which the tripeptide-bound AlgW is partially activated, while the decapeptide-bound structures reflect the further activation of AlgW. This diverse peptide activation mechanism is a unique property of AlgW which allows it to conditionally adjust the cleavage activity or functional state.

**Different regulatory mechanisms between AlgW and DegS.** PDZ domains are abundant protein-protein interaction modules found in various species; the interactions between the PDZ and protease domain allows HtrA proteases to modulate the enzymatic activity in response to effector binding (32). The structural mechanism of PDZ-mediated activation was via conformational transitions from PDZ to the exercise module (24). Structural studies of DegS have revealed that the loops (L1, L2, L3, L7, L8) around the active site had to be organized into certain conformations to achieve the protease activity, but the PDZ domain of inactive DegS captured the L3 loop in the interdomain space (20). Although abolishing the inhibitory effect of the PDZ domain by releasing the L3 loop is the common activation mechanism between DegS and AlgW, cleavage activity would be partially retained in DegS-ΔPDZ but totally lost in AlgW-ΔPDZ (21). This might be due to the different interdomain interaction networks (Fig. 4), in which the corresponding distributions of hydrophobic and hydrophilic contact pairs are almost reversed in two homologues (Fig. 4D). These variations enforce the dependence of the L3 loop of AlgW on the PDZ domain to maintain the active-state conformation after activation. This speculation is consistent with the analysis of M342A and R374A mutants (Table 2), in which the alanine substitutions disrupted the interactions between the PDZ domain and L3 loop and hence abolished AlgW activity.

The L3 loop is another important regulatory element in HtrA family proteases. L3 loops have multiple functions, such as maintaining HtrA oligomeric structure, mediating intra- and intersubunit contacts, keeping the L1 and L2 loops in a catalytically incompetent conformation, and gating the substrate cavity (19). Our structural data demonstrated the details of how the movement of the L3 loop reconstructed the local conformation of the hinge region and directly regulated the accessibility of the active center (Fig. 5). Sequence alignment shows great variability of length and residue content of L3 loops between AlgW and DegS groups (Fig. 4E); it might lead to different L3 loop mobility and account for the different cleavage efficiencies of the two S1P proteases. In addition, mutagenesis analysis suggested that the increased L3 loop flexibility could accelerate the local conformation transition and thus largely improve the cleavage rate, but meanwhile, the more frequent domain switching would impact the substrate binding (Table 3). These results also support the notion that the flexibility of the L3 loop is associated with the HtrA protein functionality (19).

**Conclusion.** AlgW is a key protease in the RIP pathway of *P. aeruginosa*, and its protease activity determines the mucoid conversion and the expression of alginate secretion-related gene clusters (4). It is worth noting that the *in vitro* catalytic efficiency of AlgW is much higher than that of DegS (Fig. 1), indicating that the initiation of the RIP system in *P. aeruginosa* is faster than that of *E. coli*. The more sensitive and quicker response of the RIP module enables *P. aeruginosa* to rapidly boost its alginate synthesis. On the other hand, accumulations of mis-localized or unfolded proteins and LPS in periplasm are now recognized as critical signals required for the cascade proteolysis process in that RIP pathway (13). In this article, we identified the dual-signal activation in AlgW and demonstrated the synergistic effects between peptide and lipid effectors (Fig. 1 and 2). Furthermore, AlgW could target both MucA and MucB, suggesting an intensive proteolysis process on anti-sigma factors (Fig. 1 and 2). The uncovered unique structural features of AlgW are responsible for its specific biologic functions, such as alginate secretion and biofilm formation (Fig. 6). These structural and functional analysis revealed the structural basis for the distinct activation of AlgW; these new insights into the versatile regulatory mechanism of AlgW confer the strong adaptability and diverse capacities of *P. aeruginosa* in response to extracellular stresses. Collectively, our study offers a unique opportunity to advance our understanding of the envelope stress-sensing mechanism in bacteria.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Molecular cloning. Genes encoding AlgW30-389 for activity determination and AlgW52-389 for crystallization were amplified from the P. aeruginosa genomic DNA by PCR using gene-specific primers (Table S4). These genes were cloned into the pET22b-(LELVFQ)(GP)-6×His vector using a ClonExpress II one-step cloning kit (Vazyme). The vector pET22b-(LELVFQ)(GP)-6×His encodes a PreScission protease cleavage site that allows the removal of His-tag. The Blunting Kination Ligation (BKL) kit (TaKaRa) was selected to construct the AlgW mutations.

Protein expression and purification. E. coli BL21(DE3) cells containing expression plasmid vector [pET22b-AlgW-(LELVFQ)(GP)-6×His] were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin at 37°C. When the optical density at 600 nm (OD600) reached 0.8 to 1.0, protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at 18°C for 15 h.

Bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 4,000 × g for 15 min and resuspended in lysis buffer consisting of 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol. After sonication, the supernatant was obtained by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 30 min and then incubated with 4 ml Ni-NTA resin for 1 h. The mixture was washed with lysis buffer complemented with 25 mM imidazole, and target protein was eluted with lysis buffer containing 300 mM imidazole. The protein was further purified with size exclusion chromatography Superdex-75 (GE Healthcare), which was preequilibrated with solution buffer consisting of 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl. Peak fractions were determined by SDS-PAGE analysis. Mutant proteins were expressed and purified as described for the wild type.

Crystallization, data collection, and structural determination. Crystallization screens were carried out by mixing protein complex with reservoir buffer at 18°C using the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method. Crystals were obtained in the solution containing index G1 (0.2 M NaCl, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5, 25% [wt/vol] PEG3350) for AlgW-tripeptide, both index D9 (25% PEG3350, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5) and Proplex G8 (0.8 M sodium/potassium phosphate) for AlgWS227A-tripeptide, PEG(Rx) G2 (2% Tacsimate PH 7.0, 5% 2-propanol, 0.1 M imidazole pH 7.0, 8% PEG3350) for AlgW-decapeptide, and Wizard1&2 A5 (30% PEG400, 100 mM CAPS/sodium hydrochloric pH 10.5) for AlgWS227A-decapeptide. Crystals were soaked in cryo-protectant (reservoir solution supplemented with 20% glycerol) and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data were collected on beamline BL18U/BL19U of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF), China. All diffraction images were integrated, scaled, and merged with the HKL2000 program package (36). The structure of AlgW-WVF was determined by molecular replacement using the PHENIX (37) package with Dengs (PDB code: 1SOZ) as a template. The structures of AlgWS227A-tripeptide, AlgW-decapeptide, and AlgWS227A-decapeptide were determined by molecular replacement in Phaser (38) using AlgW-WVF as a search model. All structures were refined in PHENIX in combination with manual building in Coot (39). The final refinement statistics for these structures are summarized in Table 1.

Muc- and Rse- protease degradation assay. The Muc- and Rse- protease degradation systems contain equal concentrations of proteases (25 μM AlgW/DegS), substrates (125 μM MucA/degS, RseA/mm, 130 μM MucB/RseB), agonist peptides (100 μM tripeptide WVF/YVF or decapeptide 5VRDELRWVF/DRNDGNYVF), and other reagents (100 μM lipid-A or 400 μM DDM). The Muc- cleavage assay was placed in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 10% glycerol and reacted at 37°C (9), while the Rse- protease degradation assay was performed at 37°C in a phosphate buffer containing 50 mM NaHPO4 (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 4 mM EDTA (40).

Enzyme activity assays. For the enzyme mechanism of AlgW (30–389) and mutants, two internally quenched fluorescent peptide substrates incorporating the peptidase cleavage site of MucA/MucB (Abz-VLAG-pNA and Abz-TVAW-pNA, were designed and synthesized (GL Biochem Shanghai Ltd., China). In the intact peptide, the fluorescence of the anthraniloyl group is quenched by the N-nitroaniline (Abz) is a fluorogenic group, and pNA is a quencher of fluorescence). This quenched fluorescence is liberated upon cleavage of peptide by 200 mM AlgW and mutants (200/3 mM for trimer), resulting in increased fluorescence that can then be monitored fluorometrically. Prior to the assay, all enzyme preparations were incubated with 10 mM agonist peptides (WVF or 5VRDELRWVF) for 30 min at room temperature. All assays were placed in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl at 37°C for 1 h, and the final assay volume was 100 μl. The fluorescent degradation products were monitored at 60-s intervals at fluorescence wavelength—λex = 310 nm, λem = 420 nm (41). All data points were representative of three independent determinations and were simulated with solid lines with the formula Y = Vmax · X^h/(Km · h + X^h) or Y = Vmax · X^h/(Km · h + Vmax / X^h) or the Michaelis-Menten formula (Y = Vmax · X/(Km + X)). Substrate versus velocity was used to determine Km and Vmax and agonists versus velocity was used to determine the half-maximal activation concentration and maximum activation rate of agonists. The fitting and calculation of data were carried out using Prism 7 (GraphPad, CA, USA).

The concentration gradients of fluorescent peptide substrates, agonist peptides, and DDM in the determination of the AlgW enzyme kinetic parameters were fluorescent peptide substrate concentration gradients of 3.125 μM, 6.25 μM, 12.5 μM, 25 μM, 50 μM, 100 μM, 200 μM, and 300 μM, agonist peptide concentration gradients of 0.3125 mM, 0.625 mM, 1.25 mM, 2.5 mM, 5 mM, 10 mM, 20 mM, and 40 mM and DDM concentration gradients of 0.0165 mM, 0.03125 mM, 0.0625 mM, 0.125 mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM, 1 mM, and 2 mM.

Construction of P. aeruginosa algW gene deletion and mutation strains. The deletion of algW in P. aeruginosa was constructed according to our previous study (13). The upstream and downstream (600 bp) PCR fragments of algW were recombined to the linearized DNA of pEX18Gm with a ligation-free cloning system (5× ligation-free cloning master Mix; abm). The recombinant plasmid was transformed into E. coli S17-1 and then mobilized into P. aeruginosa strain PAO1. Colonies were screened
using antibiotic-resistant selection and sucrose-mediated counterselection. The algW single-gene deletion strains were further confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing.

For mutation strains, PCR-amplified algW and site-directed mutagenesis were cloned into plasmid pME6032 and transformed into the PA01::algW strain. Finally, the strains were screened using Pseudomonas isolation agar (PIA) plates complemented with 200 μg/ml tetracycline.

Alginate assay. Alginate was determined as described with our previous research (13). The P. aeruginosa PA01 and mutant strains were grown on LB medium at 37°C overnight and then subcultured at 1:1,000 into 3 ml fresh LB medium and grown at 37°C for 12 h. The cells were collected and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and the OD600 was measured and adjusted to 0.8 by the addition of PBS. The suspensions were analyzed for the amount of uronic acid in comparison with a standard curve made with D-mannuronic acid lactone as previously reported, and the content of alginate in different strains was determined using the sulfuric acid-carbazole colorimetric method.

Biofilm formation assay. Biofilm formation was determined as previously described (42). Briefly, overnight bacterial cultures were diluted 100-fold in fresh M63 minimal medium supplemented with magnesium sulfate and arginine. The cell suspension (1 ml) was transferred into each well of a 24-well polystyrene (PVC) plate (Sigma) and incubated at 37°C. After incubation for 48 h, the M63 minimal medium was removed and the wells were washed twice with a sterilized phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells that adhered to the wells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min and then washed twice with PBS. The cell-bound dye was eluted in 2 ml of 95% ethanol, and the absorbance of the eluted solution was measured using a microplate reader at 570 nm. The results are reported for three independent experiments with at least four replicates per experiment.

Statistics and reproducibility. All experiments were performed in independent biological triplicate, and the results of replicates were consistent. The fitting and calculation of data were carried out with Prism 7 (GraphPad, CA, USA). All the enzyme kinetic data points were representative of three independent determinations and were simulated with solid lines through the formula \( Y = V_{\text{max}} \cdot X^{\text{h}}/(K_m + X^{\text{h}}) \) or \( Y = V_{\text{max}} \cdot X^{\text{h}}/(K_m + X^{\text{h}}) \) or the Michaelis-Menten formula \( (Y = V_{\text{max}} \cdot X/(K_m + X)) \). Substrate versus velocity was used to determine \( K_m \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \), and agonists versus velocity was used to determine the half-maximal activation concentration and maximum activation rate of agonists; error bars represent the standard deviation.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the statistical analysis of experimental data in vivo. Details of the number of biological replicates are described in the figure legends and Materials and Methods. Error bars represent the standard deviation. A \( P \) value of \(<0.0001\) was considered extremely significant, which is indicated with ****.

Data availability. All data relevant to this study are supplied in the manuscript and supplementary files or are available from the corresponding author upon request. Atomic coordinates of the refined structures have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org/). The PDB codes for AlgW-tripeptide, AlgWS227A-tripeptide, AlgW-decapeptide, and AlgWS227A-decapeptide are 7CO2, 7CO3, 7CO5, and 7CO7, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

FIG S1, TIF file, 0.9 MB.
FIG S2, TIF file, 1.3 MB.
TABLE S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.
TABLE S2, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.
TABLE S3, DOCX file, 0.01 MB.
TABLE S4, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Plan under grant 2016YFA0502700, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 81871615 and 81670008), the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic of China (grant 2018ZX09201018-005), and the National Mega-project for Innovative Drugs (2019ZX09721001-001-001). We thank the National Key R&D Program of China.

We thank Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) beamline BL17U for beamtime allowance. We thank the staffs of the National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS) beamlines BL18U and BL19U and SSRF, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China, for assistance during data collection.

The search and alignment online tools used in our work were supported by the NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

We declare no competing interests.

R.B. designed the study and wrote this paper; T.L. and Y.S. completed the whole experiment and part of the article writing and revision. N.Z., C.L., L.H., M.K., and Y.Z.
participated in the X-ray data collection and the structural refinement. J.Y., Z.Z., and H.T. directed the revision of the content of the article. C.Z., J.Y., Q.H., and X.M. analyzed the data. All the authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES


