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ABSTRACT Single-celled organisms must adapt their physiology to persist and propagate across a wide range of environmental conditions. The growth and division of bacterial cells depend on continuous synthesis of an essential extracellular barrier: the peptidoglycan cell wall, a polysaccharide matrix that counteracts turgor pressure and confers cell shape. Unlike many other essential processes and structures within the bacterial cell, the peptidoglycan cell wall and its synthesis machinery reside at the cell surface and are thus uniquely vulnerable to the physicochemical environment and exogenous threats. In addition to the diversity of stressors endangering cell wall integrity, defects in peptidoglycan metabolism require rapid repair in order to prevent osmotic lysis, which can occur within minutes. Here, we review recent work that illuminates mechanisms that ensure robust peptidoglycan metabolism in response to persistent and acute environmental stress. Advances in our understanding of bacterial cell wall quality control promise to inform the development and use of antimicrobial agents that target the synthesis and remodeling of this essential macromolecule.

IMPORTANCE Nearly all bacteria are encased in a peptidoglycan cell wall, an essential polysaccharide structure that protects the cell from osmotic rupture and reinforces cell shape. The integrity of this protective barrier must be maintained across the diversity of environmental conditions wherein bacteria replicate. However, at the cell surface, the cell wall and its synthesis machinery face unique challenges that threaten their integrity. Directly exposed to the extracellular environment, the peptidoglycan synthesis machinery encounters dynamic and extreme physicochemical conditions, which may impair enzymatic activity and critical protein-protein interactions. Biotic and abiotic stressors—including host defenses, cell wall active antibiotics, and predatory bacteria and phage—also jeopardize peptidoglycan integrity by introducing lesions, which must be rapidly repaired to prevent cell lysis. Here, we review recently discovered mechanisms that promote robust peptidoglycan synthesis during environmental and acute stress and highlight the opportunities and challenges for the development of cell wall active therapeutics.
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The growth and survival of single-celled organisms hinge on their ability to adapt to dynamic, and potentially hostile, environmental conditions. Effective adaptation requires a means to maintain essential cellular processes in the face of diverse endogenous and exogenous stress. For bacteria, preserving the integrity of an essential extracellular barrier—the peptidoglycan (PG) cell wall—is often the difference between life and death.

A continuous macromolecule encasing the plasma membrane, PG consists of glycan strands of repeating β(1,4)-linked N-acetylglicosamine (NAG) and N-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) disaccharides and short peptide stems, which are covalently attached to the...
NAM sugars. Peptide stems from adjacent glycan strands are cross-linked to one another to create a PG matrix collectively referred to as the sacculus (Fig. 1). When intact, the PG sacculus protects cells from osmotic rupture and reinforces cell shape and size (1, 2). Yet the line between safeguard and liability is thin: sustained breaches in the sacculus are catastrophic and lead to rapid cell lysis. The vulnerability of PG and its synthesis machinery is exploited by antibacterial host defenses (e.g., lysozyme) and cell wall active antibiotics (e.g., β-lactams and glycopeptides). Although more mundane, suboptimal growth conditions (e.g., pH stress or metal limitation) also threaten PG integrity by interfering with the activity of PG synthesis enzymes and the interactions between them.

Here, we briefly review PG biology, then detail the mechanisms which preserve PG integrity in response to environmental and acute stress. We focus on strategies in Escherichia coli because it is the predominant model organism for studying cell wall biogenesis and is an environmental generalist, but we also draw examples from other bacteria to highlight conservation and diversity when relevant. A clear understanding of the mechanisms that ensure robust PG homeostasis across environmental conditions is essential to developing new cell wall active drugs and preserving the value of our current arsenal.

**PEPTIDOGLYCAN METABOLISM**

**Peptidoglycan precursor biogenesis.** PG synthesis begins in the cytoplasm. Soluble nucleotide-activated sugars (UDP-N-acetylglucosamine and UDP-N-acetylmuramyl pentapeptide) are assembled on a 55-carbon carrier lipid (undecaprenyl phosphate) to form a lipid-linked disaccharide precursor (lipid II) (3). Flippases, including MurJ and Amj, translocate lipid II to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane (4, 5). In the periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria or at the cell surface of Gram-positive bacteria, the disaccharide subunits are polymerized, liberated from undecaprenyl phosphate, and assembled into the existing PG matrix through the coordinated actions of cell wall synthases and autolysins (6).

**Peptidoglycan synthases.** PG synthases are categorized by their ability to perform glycosyl transfer (glycan polymerizing) and/or transpeptidation (peptide cross-linking) reactions. Two classes of transpeptidases form distinct linkages between the adjacent peptide stems: DD-transpeptidases catalyze cross-links between the third and fourth peptide stems from adjacent glycan strands are cross-linked to one another to create a PG matrix collectively referred to as the sacculus (Fig. 1). When intact, the PG sacculus protects cells from osmotic rupture and reinforces cell shape and size (1, 2). Yet the line between safeguard and liability is thin: sustained breaches in the sacculus are catastrophic and lead to rapid cell lysis. The vulnerability of PG and its synthesis machinery is exploited by antibacterial host defenses (e.g., lysozyme) and cell wall active antibiotics (e.g., β-lactams and glycopeptides). Although more mundane, suboptimal growth conditions (e.g., pH stress or metal limitation) also threaten PG integrity by interfering with the activity of PG synthesis enzymes and the interactions between them.
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**PEPTIDOGLYCAN METABOLISM**

**Peptidoglycan precursor biogenesis.** PG synthesis begins in the cytoplasm. Soluble nucleotide-activated sugars (UDP-N-acetylglucosamine and UDP-N-acetylmuramyl pentapeptide) are assembled on a 55-carbon carrier lipid (undecaprenyl phosphate) to form a lipid-linked disaccharide precursor (lipid II) (3). Flippases, including MurJ and Amj, translocate lipid II to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane (4, 5). In the periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria or at the cell surface of Gram-positive bacteria, the disaccharide subunits are polymerized, liberated from undecaprenyl phosphate, and assembled into the existing PG matrix through the coordinated actions of cell wall synthases and autolysins (6).

**Peptidoglycan synthases.** PG synthases are categorized by their ability to perform glycosyl transfer (glycan polymerizing) and/or transpeptidation (peptide cross-linking) reactions. Two classes of transpeptidases form distinct linkages between the adjacent peptide stems: DD-transpeptidases catalyze cross-links between the third and fourth
amino acid positions (3-4 cross-links), whereas LD-transpeptidases catalyze cross-links between the third positions of the two stems (3-3 cross-links) (Fig. 1). Although inactivated by carbapenems (7, 8), LD-transpeptidases are insensitive to penicillin and closely related β-lactam antibiotics (8). In contrast, penicillin binds to and inhibits the activity of DD-transpeptidases (9). DD-Transpeptidases are therefore referred to as penicillin binding proteins (PBPs). Cells encode two major classes of PBP synthases: class A and class B PBPs.

Class A PBPs are bifunctional enzymes, possessing both glycosyltransferase and DD-transpeptidase activities. E. coli genes encode three class A PBPs—PBP1a, PBP1b, and PBP1c. PBP1a and PBP1b share an essential, but unclear, role in PG biogenesis (10) and are believed to function outside cytoskeleton-directed cell wall synthesis machines (discussed below) (11). The activity of PBP1a and PBP1b is mediated through interaction with a cognate outer membrane lipoprotein cofactors LpoA and LpoB, respectively (12, 13). PBP1c, in contrast, has no known role in PG synthesis under standard laboratory conditions and cannot compensate for the combined loss of PBP1a and PBP1b (14). Intriguingly, PBP1c is encoded downstream of a α2-macroglobulin involved in protection against host proteases. It is tempting to speculate that PBP1c may have a role in PG synthesis in host-relevant niches (15, 16).

Class B PBPs are monofunctional DD-transpeptidases, whose activity is specifically coupled to a monofunctional glycosyltransferase belonging to the SEDS (shape, elongation, division, and sporulation) family (17–19). E. coli genes encode two essential class B PBP/SEDS enzyme pairs—PBP2/RodA and PBP3/FtsW—that function as parts of multicomponent PG synthesis complexes involved in cell elongation and cell division, respectively. PBP2 and RodA associate with membrane proteins RodZ, MreC, and MreD and small patches of the actin homolog MreB to form the Rod system (“elongasome”). The Rod system elongates the cell by inserting new PG material in the lateral cell body throughout the cell cycle and is required for rod shape (20–24). Analogously, PBP3 (FtsI) and FtsW are essential components of the cytokinetic ring (“divisome”), a multicomponent PG synthesis complex scaffolded by treadmilling filaments of the tubulin homolog FtsZ (25, 26). Unlike PBP2/RodA, PBP3/FtsW activity is spatially confined to midcell and temporally restricted to cytokinesis (19, 26, 27). Genetic or chemical inactivation of PBP3 and/or FtsW inhibits cell division and leads to the formation of long filamentous cells (28–31).

In addition to the PBP and SEDS synthases, E. coli genes encode seven nonessential monofunctional enzymes involved in PG synthesis, including six penicillin-insensitive LD-transpeptidases and one glycosyltransferase. LD-transpeptidases LdtA, LdtB, and LdtC anchor Braun’s lipoprotein to the PG (32), whereas LdtD, LdtE, and LdtF promote the formation of 3-3 cross-links between peptide stems (32, 33), particularly during stationary-phase growth (34). Finally, E. coli carries a gene that encodes a single monofunctional glycosyltransferase, MtgA, with an unknown role in PG biogenesis (35).

Peptidoglycan autolysins. PG hydrolases and lyases, collectively referred to as “autolysins,” cleave nearly every bond within the PG sacculus. Major autolysins and their cleavage sites include DD-endopeptidases (peptide cross-link), lytic transglycosylases (glycosidic bond), DL-endopeptidases and carboxypeptidases (peptide bond within stem peptide), and amidases (amino-sugar bond) (Fig. 1). The functions of autolysins are as varied as their biochemical activities and even differ among enzymes within the same class or between homologs in different bacteria (36). Functional assignment is also impeded by significant apparent redundancy among the autolysins, with up to eight enzymes capable of catalyzing each reaction (discussed below). In E. coli, PG autolysins are implicated in growth, cell separation, morphogenesis, and PG maturation.

Linkages between glycan strands must be broken in order to expand and divide the sacculus during normal growth. In E. coli, three semiredundant DD-endopeptidases—MepA, MepS, and MepM—hydrolyze cross-links between existing glycan strands to make space for insertion of nascent PG into the sacculus (37, 38). Cell separation during
cytokinesis also requires the septal PG to be split to form the new poles of the daughter cells. Cell separation in *E. coli* is primarily achieved through the combined activity of three LytC-type N-acetylmuramoyl-\(\alpha\)-alanine amidases (AmiA, AmiB, and AmiC), which cleave the peptide stem from the glycan backbone of PG to produce stemless ("de-nuded") glycans (39, 40). Certain endopeptidases and lytic transglycosylases may also assist in cell separation (41–43).

Autolysins also dictate PG processing and maturation. Carboxypeptidases trim the pentapeptide to four or three amino acids in length, thereby dictating the types of cross-links that can be formed at a particular stem. Like the PBP synthases, many carboxypeptidases also bind to penicillin and are named accordingly. Carboxypeptidase activity influences cell morphogenesis: *E. coli* cells impaired for the production of the major carboxypeptidase PBPS bulge, kink, bend, and branch (44, 45). Lytic transglycosylases, on the other hand, cleave the \(\beta(1,4)\) glycosidic bond between disaccharides in a nonhydrolytic reaction. These enzymes influence glycan chain length (46) and contribute to PG turnover, which can account for up to 50% of the total PG material per generation in *E. coli* (47, 48).

**RESPONSES TO ENVIRONMENTAL THREATS**

Many bacteria persist and propagate across a wide range of chemical, physical, and nutritional environments and must consequently maintain cell wall integrity across diverse conditions. Enteric bacteria like *E. coli*, for example, infiltrate their mammalian hosts through contaminated food products (ambient temperature, variable pH) and then pass through the oral cavity and upper gastrointestinal tract (body temperature, acidic pH) before colonizing the colon (neutral to basic pH). Upon exiting the gut, certain *E. coli* isolates infect and persist in the upper and lower urinary tract (49)—an osmotic-, nutrient-, and pH-variable environment dependent on the host’s diet and hydration state.

While most essential processes (e.g., DNA replication, transcription, and translation) are confined to the cytosol, where homeostatic control systems limit environmental fluctuations by buffering and maintaining the salt content in response to pH and osmotic stress (50, 51), many essential reactions involved in PG biogenesis take place exterior to the plasma membrane. These reactions at the cell surface are thus uniquely exposed to the extracellular environment (2). Although PG precursors are generated within the stable confines of the cytosol, the subunits are assimilated into the existing PG macromolecule through reactions that take place in the extracellular space of Gram-positive bacteria and periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria (Fig. 1). Both of these compartments assume many of the physical and chemical properties of the environment (e.g., pH and osmolarity) due to either the complete absence or porosity of a secondary lipid bilayer (52–54).

Environmental fluctuations may challenge the biochemistry of PG biogenesis by disrupting enzymatic activity and/or regulatory protein-protein interactions, both of which are generally optimized for a particular set of reaction conditions. Nevertheless, laboratory *E. coli* proliferates in environments which range from pH 4 to 9 and 0 to 0.8 M NaCl with only modest differences in overall PG composition (55–57), indicating the existence of mechanisms to promote robust cell wall biogenesis. As discussed below, these mechanisms extend beyond canonical stress response pathways and signal transduction networks and instead involve shifts in enzymatic activity and PG complex composition, allowing for near-instantaneous responses to environmental stimuli.

Below we elaborate the different strategies bacteria employ to ensure cell wall metabolism keeps pace with cell growth across environmental conditions. We focus on two of the adaptations that promote PG homeostasis during steady-state growth in nonstandard conditions (i.e., constant stress): (i) plasticity within the active repertoire of cell wall enzymes, and (ii) production of modulatory factors that stabilize multicomponent cell wall synthesis complexes. Mechanisms that protect the cell wall in response to acute (non-steady-state) treats will be addressed in the subsequent section.
Apparent redundancy. Sets of PG enzymes with overlapping activity profiles appear to be a major mechanism to preserve cell wall integrity across environmental conditions. Redundancy is specific among the extracellular repertoire of enzymes, which are directly exposed to the extracellular environment. Whereas PG precursors are synthesized with a nearly 1:1 stoichiometry between reactions and enzymes (10 reactions, 12 enzymes), there are over 37 enzymes that carry out the eight reactions involved in the E. coli PG metabolism in the periplasm (Fig. 1). Of these extracellular enzymes, only four are essential. The class B PBP/SEDS protein pairs, PBP2-RodA and PBP3-FtsW, are required for cell elongation and division, respectively (6). Individual loss of any of the remaining 33 enzymes fails to impact E. coli growth or morphology under standard culture conditions. In fact, some mutants defective for multiple enzymes of the same class still do not exhibit phenotypes in the laboratory setting (37, 39, 41, 45, 58). E. coli is not unique in its redundant extracellular cell wall enzymes. Bacillus subtilis genes encode over 45 extracellular PG synthases and autolysins. Only three enzymes—including RodA, FtsW, and PBP2b—are essential for vegetative growth under standard culture conditions (6).

Apparent redundancy in the extracellular reactions of PG biogenesis scales with environmental complexity. Obligate mammalian and obligate intracellular pathogens carry genes that encode fewer extracellular PG enzymes than environmental generalists that inhabit a range of ecological niches (e.g., E. coli) or organisms that undergo complex development lifecycles (e.g., spore-forming B. subtilis). Phylogenetic analysis of PG synthesis enzymes indicates the presence of 16 and 21 putative transpeptidases and glycosyltransferases in the genomes of E. coli and B. subtilis, respectively (59). The obligate intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis and human gut specialist Helicobacter pylori, in contrast, carry genes that encode as few as three enzymes each. Obligate mammalian pathogens that are capable of infecting diverse host niches (e.g., Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes) carry genes that encode an intermediate number of PG synthesis enzymes (59).

Altogether, the relationship between apparent redundancy and the environment suggests that some enzymes may be “specialized” and preferentially used during growth under particular environmental conditions. Rather than a single set of machinery synthesizing and remodeling PG across all growth conditions, the repertoire of active cell wall synthesis enzymes may be dynamic with respect to the environment, and their cumulative activity allows for viability across the full range of growth-permissive environments (60) (Fig. 1). Consistent with this model, a multitude of enzymes with condition-dependent changes in production, activity, and essentiality has been identified in the last 5 years (Table 1). It is important to point out, however, that environmental specialization may not explain all redundancies in PG enzymes; in some cases, apparent redundancy can be attributed to differences in biochemical activity, subcellular localization, cell cycle regulation, and/or interaction partners (examples reviewed in references 36 and 61).

(i) Environmental pH influences activity of E. coli PG synthases and autolysins. E. coli is exposed to a range of pH environments as it progresses through the gastrointestinal tract and when it persists in the urinary bladder. Accordingly, enzymes with pH-dependent changes in activity have been identified in nearly every class of “nonessential” E. coli cell wall synthases and autolysins.

Our recent work identified five enzymes required for E. coli fitness when cultured specifically in acidic or alkaline growth medium. We compared how pH influences the growth rate of 32 E. coli mutants, each defective for a single “nonessential” cell wall enzyme. The subset of pH-sensitive proteins identified spanned three enzymatic classes and included PBP1a and PBP1b, two class A PBPs that share an essential—but unclear—role in cell wall biogenesis under standard culture conditions. Loss of PBP1b attenuated growth or led to lysis at pH < 5.5. In contrast, cells defective for PBP1a exhibited a modest but significant growth defect between pH 6.9 and 8.2. The conditional fitness requirement of PBP1a and PBP1b is mediated in part through...
through a mechanism dependent on PBP1b activity (57).

Vibrio Pseudomonas Salmonella
Conditions, PBP1a is inactive at pH 4.8 (57). pH-dependent changes in activity: while PBP1b remains active across a range of pH conditions, PBP1a is inactive at pH 4.8 in vitro (57). Differential utilization of PBP1a and PBP1b appears to impact intrinsic resistance to certain β-lactam antibiotics. In acidic medium, E. coli is up to 64-fold more resistant to β-lactams that target PBP2 and PBP3 through a mechanism dependent on PBP1b activity (57).

### Table 1: Peptidoglycan genes and proteins with condition-dependent phenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Precursor synthesis</td>
<td>Enterobacterial common antigen recycling</td>
<td>ElyC</td>
<td>Required for growth at low temp (30°C) (118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall synthesis</td>
<td>Class A PBP</td>
<td>PBP1a</td>
<td>Required for maximal growth rate in alkaline pH (pH 6.9 to 8.2) (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impaired activity in acidic medium (pH 4.8) (57)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Averent localization pattern in acidic medium (pH 5.2) (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upregulated during outer membrane stress (33, 117)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for survival during mechanical stress (95, 98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upregulated during outer membrane stress (33, 117)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall hydrolysis</td>
<td>ld-Transpeptidase</td>
<td>LdtD</td>
<td>Upregulated in acidic medium (pH 5.0) (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased stability in acidic medium (pH 5.0) (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased sp act in acidic medium (pH 5.0) (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased activity in vitro at acidic pH (pH 4.0 to 4.5) (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased activity in vitro and in vivo at low temp (30°C) (119, 120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for maximal growth rate in acidic pH (pH &lt; 5.5) (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>MltG</td>
<td>Required for growth in low-osmotic-strength media (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth in low-osmotic-strength media at high temp (42°C) (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth during oxidative stress and DNA damage at high temp (42°C) (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio cholera</td>
<td>Cell wall synthesis</td>
<td>Class A PBP</td>
<td>PBP1a</td>
<td>Required for maximal growth in stationary phase and minimal medium (121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for fitness in infection of the infant mouse small intestine (121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall hydrolysis</td>
<td>Endopeptidase</td>
<td>ShyB</td>
<td>Zur-mediated upregulated in Zn-deplete medium (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Activity resistant to Zn chelators in vitro (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specifically required for intestinal colonization (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>NlpD</td>
<td>Required for resistance to bile salts (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upregulated in Zn-deplete medium (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall hydrolysis</td>
<td>2o-Endopeptidase and amidase</td>
<td>AmiA</td>
<td>Required for maximal growth rate in alkaline pH (pH 6.9 to 8.4) (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased activity in vitro at acidic pH (pH 4.0 to 4.5) (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased activity in vitro and in vivo at low temp (30°C) (119, 120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>FtsEX</td>
<td>Required for growth in low-osmotic-strength media (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth in low-osmotic-strength media at high temp (42°C) (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth during oxidative stress and DNA damage at high temp (42°C) (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell elongation</td>
<td>Elongation-specific class B PBP</td>
<td>PBP2Sal</td>
<td>Upregulated in acidic medium (pH &lt; 5.8), macrophages, and in murine infection model (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sufficient for cell division in acidic medium and in macrophages (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell elongation</td>
<td>Elongation-specific class B PBP</td>
<td>PBP2Sal</td>
<td>Upregulated in acidic medium (pH &lt; 5.8), macrophages, and in murine infection model (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall synthesis</td>
<td>Class A PBP</td>
<td>PonA2</td>
<td>Required for growth and rod morphology in stationary phase (125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth in anaerobic environments (125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall hydrolysis</td>
<td>Amidase</td>
<td>Ami1</td>
<td>Required for persistence in murine model (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for cell growth in acidic medium (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>RipA</td>
<td>Required for persistence in murine model (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for cell growth in acidic medium (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>FipA</td>
<td>Required for persistence in murine model (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regulated through acid-responsive protease MarP (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>PerM</td>
<td>Compensates for MreB during growth on gluconogenic carbon sources (127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth and division in macrophages (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>MreC</td>
<td>Required for persistence and cell division in murine model (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for survival during acidic pH stress and Fe limitation (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>MreD</td>
<td>Compensates for MreB during growth on gluconogenic carbon sources (127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for growth and division in macrophages (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell elongation</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton</td>
<td>YvcK (GlmR)</td>
<td>Upregulated in response to cell envelope stress via CesRK (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required for survival during acidic pH stress and Fe limitation (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Class B PBP</td>
<td>PBP3</td>
<td>Can compensate for division in absence of PBP2B activity (128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Glycosyltransferase</td>
<td>RodA3</td>
<td>Upregulated in response to cell envelope stress via CesRK (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>Glycosyltransferase</td>
<td>FtsW2</td>
<td>Upregulated in response to cell envelope stress via CesRK (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell wall synthesis</td>
<td>Regulation</td>
<td>MreC, MreD</td>
<td>Required for fitness in murine chronic abscess model (92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Growth analysis, however, is not sufficient to identify the full repertoire of pH-sensitive cell wall enzymes. The Vollmer and Young groups identified the first pH specialist—the δδ-carboxypeptidase PBP6b—by comparing muropeptide profiles and morphology of mutants defective for up to eight PG enzymes (55). This analysis revealed that PBP6b is capable of trimming pentapeptide stems and maintaining rod-shaped morphology in acidic (pH 5.0) but not near-neutral (pH 7.5) growth medium. Acidic pH promotes PBP6b production, specific activity, and stability (55).

(ii) Intracellular pathogens carry genes that encode pH specialist cell wall enzymes. pH-sensitive cell wall enzymes have also been identified in *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (62–65). Although not environmental generalists like *E. coli*, these pathogens experience pH stress in host intracellular compartments, wherein they persist and replicate.

*M. tuberculosis* and *S. Typhimurium* both carry genes that encode acid-responsive δδ-endopeptidases required for persistence in the phagosome (62, 65). *M. tuberculosis* genes encode two semiredundant δδ-endopeptidases, RipA and RipB. While production of either enzyme is sufficient to support normal growth of *M. tuberculosis* in rich medium at neutral pH, RipA becomes conditionally essential for growth in acidic medium and in chronic infections (64, 65). RipA abundance is regulated through MarP, a periplasmic serine protease required to maintain intracellular pH homeostasis (66). MarP-mediated processing of RipA is required for its hydrolytic activity. Consistent with RipA’s increased importance in acidic environments, MarP-RipA interaction is strengthened in low-pH medium (65). Similarly, *S. Typhimurium* produces a δδ-endopeptidase, EgcA, specifically required for growth when cells are cultured in epithelial cells or in low-pH minimal medium. EgcA is required for the pathogen to efficiently colonize the livers and spleens of infected mice, suggesting this enzyme facilitates adaptation to its intracellular lifestyle (62).

Castanheira and colleagues identified an unusual acid-regulated cell wall enzyme in *S. Typhimurium* (63). Unlike laboratory *E. coli*, which produces a single PBP3 enzyme essential for cytokinesis (28), *S. Typhimurium* produces two: a conventional PBP3 enzyme produced from the *dcw* cluster with other division proteins and a second copy at a distal locus with 63% identity to the aforementioned enzyme (designated PBP3_{SAL}). PBP3_{SAL} is specifically produced and active in acidic environments, including during replication in the phagosome. Strikingly, continuous culturing in acidic medium permits inactivation of PBP3, indicating that PBP3_{SAL} can substitute for PBP3’s essential function in cytokinesis (63). PBP3_{SAL} has a reduced affinity for β-lactam antibiotics compared to PBP3 and may contribute to relapsing infections following antibiotic treatment (63, 67).

Redundancy among “essential” components of the cell division and elongation machinery may not be unique to *Salmonella*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* also carries a gene that encodes a second PBP3 homolog, PBP3x, which is upregulated in stationary phase. Similar to PBP3_{SAL}, PBP3x does not bind β-lactam antibiotics as well as the conventional copy (63, 68). Likewise, *Listeria monocytogenes* genes encode multiple copies of the SEDS glycosyltransferases, RodA (3 homologs) and FtsW (2 homologs). While not transcribed under normal laboratory conditions, rodA3 and ftsW2 are expressed when cells are exposed to cell envelope stress, such as when grown in the presence of subinhibitory concentrations of β-lactam antibiotics (69). In both *P. aeruginosa* or *L. monocytogenes*, however, it remains unclear whether the aforementioned redundant homologs can functionally substitute for the preferred cognate class B PBP/SEDS enzymes or whether they instead perform specialized roles in cell wall biogenesis.

(iii) Zinc specialist endopeptidases in *Vibrio cholerae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Beyond pH, metal limitation restricts the growth of bacterial pathogens. Several classes of cell wall autolysins require a zinc cofactor for catalysis, yet sequestration by the host restricts the availability of this metal *in vivo*. One conserved adaptation to this adverse condition appears to be the production of zinc-regulated autolysins. Two complementary studies recently identified upregulated genes encod-
ing cell wall autolysins in *V. cholerae* and *A. baumannii* in zinc-limiting environments (70, 71).

*V. cholerae* genes encode three endopeptidases, ShyA to ShyC, which have overlapping roles in cell growth and morphogenesis. Only *shyA* and *shyC* are expressed in rich growth medium, and inactivation of both is lethal under this condition (72). Their combined absence, however, is tolerated in zinc restrictive medium due to Zur-dependent expression of *shyB* (70). Like ShyA and ShyC, ShyB likely requires a zinc cofactor for catalysis. ShyB activity, however, is more resistant to zinc chelators *in vitro* than the other two enzymes. This property may confer a fitness benefit in zinc restrictive environments. Accordingly, the ShyB homolog in *A. baumannii*, ZrlA, is required for colonization and dissemination in a murine model of pneumonia (71). Interestingly, although a Δ*zrlA* mutant has increased cell envelope permeability, it is defective for zinc uptake, suggesting that this enzyme may also have a specialized role in ensuring zinc transporters are inserted in the cell envelope. Consistent with this model, zrlA is located adjacent to and may be cotranscribed with *znuA*, which encodes an essential component of the zinc uptake system. This genomic context is conserved for homologs in many gammaproteobacteria, including for the *E. coli* enzyme MepM (70), suggesting a conserved role in metal homeostasis.

**Modulatory factors maintain integrity of cell wall synthesis machines.** While the majority of cell wall enzymes are believed to function autonomously or through transient interactions with a small number of regulators, some enzymes are active only in the context of large cell wall synthesis machines, comprised of many protein-protein interactions. In bacteria, multicomponent cell wall synthesis complexes include the divisome, the Rod system, and cortical PG apparatus. While the Rod system and cortical PG apparatus are restricted to rod-shaped and spore-forming bacteria, respectively, the divisome is conserved in nearly all bacteria with a cell wall. The molecular players may vary between species, but in general, the divisome consists of cell wall synthesis enzymes and regulators, which are scaffolded by treadmilling filaments of the tubulin homolog FtsZ at midcell (25, 26). Divisome proteins can be classified based on essential function(s), with individual factors performing one or more roles in (i) localization of other cytokinetic machinery components to midcell, (ii) stabilization of the divisome complex, and (iii) synthesis of new septal PG. In the previous section, we examined the contribution of enzymatic redundancy to robust PG synthesis. Here, we review a second adaptation to preserve divisome function and integrity across growth conditions: the production of stabilization and modulatory factors that are preferentially required for division during growth in particular environmental conditions. For a comprehensive overview of divisome structure and function more generally, we recommend two excellent reviews (73, 74).

**(i)  Condition-dependent modulatory proteins in *E. coli.*** Significant genetic data from many groups indicate that division proteins FtsEX, ZipA, FtsK, FtsN, FtsP, and DedD all share partially overlapping roles in stabilizing, and potentially activating, septal PG synthesis in *E. coli* (75–80). While many of these proteins promote efficient division across culture conditions, several are strictly required for viability only during growth in particular environmental conditions. FtsEX and FtsP, for example, are conditionally essential in media with low osmotic strength and at high temperature (75, 81, 82). Among other roles, FtsEX and FtsP share an overlapping function in divisome stabilization and thus cannot be simultaneously inactivated under any osmotic condition (75, 82). Remarkably, these factors share no structural relatedness: the FtsEX complex—a predicted ABC transporter—is anchored in the inner membrane through FtsX’s transmembrane domain, while FtsP is a soluble periplasmic protein belonging to the multicopper oxidase family (75, 81, 83). Further complicating the picture, FtsEX and FtsP share partial functional overlap with the “essential” division protein FtsN. Overexpression of *ftsN* bypasses the requirement for FtsP and FtsEX in low-osmotic-strength medium (75, 82), as well as circumvents the essential function of FtsK in cytokinesis under standard culture conditions (76). Like FtsP and FtsEX, the strict requirement for
wild-type concentrations of FtsN and FtsK is conditional, dependent on the pH of the growth medium. Cells tolerate depletion—and even complete loss—of FtsK in acidic medium, a condition which corresponds with enrichment of FtsN at the cytokinetic ring. In accordance with the critical function for FtsN at low pH, it cannot be depleted in acidic medium (80), even in genetic backgrounds which permit extensive depletion at neutral pH (84–86).

(ii) PerM stabilizes the mycobacterial divisome during chronic infection. Not surprisingly, conditionally essential divisome proteins also exist outside E. coli. Notably, work from the Ehrt group recently identified a new actinobacterial integral membrane division protein, PerM, which is preferentially required for cytokinesis in persistent M. tuberculosis infections (87). Mutants defective for PerM replicate more slowly, are longer, and exhibit cell separation defects in vivo, specifically during the chronic phase of a murine infection model (88). In culture, the ΔperM mutant is also sensitive to acidic pH, magnesium concentration, and iron limitation—physiologically relevant stresses the bacterium encounters when persisting within activated macrophages in chronic infection (88, 89). PerM functions by stabilizing the essential division protein FtsB, and phenotypes associated with PerM loss are alleviated through ftsB overexpression. Surprisingly, FtsB abundance in wild-type cells did not differ across stress conditions (88), suggesting that FtsB activity or stoichiometry in the divisome may be condition dependent.

(iii) Hints of condition-dependent modulatory proteins outside the divisome. It remains unclear whether condition-specific factors stabilize other specialized cell wall synthesis machines, like the Rod system. Compared to the divisome, the Rod system has fewer higher-order interactions and is under less strict spatiotemporal regulation. These qualities may alleviate the need for stabilization factors altogether. On the other hand, there is some evidence to suggest conditional essentiality of Rod system components in Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pneumoniae. Although S. aureus and S. pneumoniae are spherical and lack the actin homolog MreB, both organisms carry genes that encode nonenzymatic Rod system components MreC and MreD, factors recently implicated in the activation of peripheral cell wall synthesis enzymes in E. coli (18). MreC and MreD are essential in virulent, but not laboratory, isolates of S. pneumoniae (90). Likewise, while MreC and MreD are dispensable for PG synthesis and cell shape of S. aureus in the laboratory setting (91), mutants defective for their production are specifically attenuated in a chronic murine abscess model (92). Similar to the mycobacterial protein PerM, S. aureus ΔmreC and ΔmreD mutants did not have a growth defect in acute infection environments relative to the parental strain (92), suggesting that these proteins are required for maximal reproductive fitness only when exposed to a chronic infection-related stressor.

RESPONSES TO ACUTE CELL WALL DAMAGE

Acute stress that threatens PG integrity is an equally—if not more—formidable challenge to cell wall integrity than growth in nonoptimal conditions. Defects in PG integrity are typically lethal, resulting in cell lysis and death within minutes. Both intrinsic and extrinsic sources can damage PG by introducing gaps into the sacculus. Intrinsic sources of damage include the insertion and removal of envelope-spanning complexes (e.g., lipopolysaccharide [LPS] transport machinery) or defects in coupling between cell wall synthase and activity. Extrinsic sources of damage are more diverse and include chemical agents (e.g., β-lactam or glycopeptide antibiotics), host antimicrobial defenses (e.g., lysozyme), exogenous transfer machinery (e.g., type six secretion or conjugation machinery), predators (e.g., phage or predatory bacteria), and mechanical stress (e.g., shear force).

Regardless of origin, acute threats to PG integrity are mitigated through a specific damage response. The first and most immediate step is lesion repair to prevent osmotic rupture. Subsequent to lesion repair, additional mechanisms may be initiated to fortify the cell wall (e.g., PG modifications) or alter the cell’s developmental program (e.g., dormancy or L-form switching) to protect the cell against recurrent damage (93, 94).
Here, we review recent developments in our understanding of the immediate response with a specific focus on the role of *E. coli* enzyme PBP1b in this process. The latter, longer timescale responses to acute damage have been discussed in depth by others, and we direct the reader to these reviews for additional information (93, 94).

**Evidence that PBP1b mediates PG repair in *E. coli***. Several pieces of evidence implicate a role for the bifunctional class A PBPs, and specially PBP1b, in *E. coli* cell wall quality control. (i) Class A PBP dynamics suggest a unique role in cell wall synthesis compared to other PBPs (11, 95). (ii) PBP1b activity is elevated in response to cell envelope stress (95,96). (iii) PBP1b is required for survival and recovery from cell wall damage (95, 97, 98).

Single molecule studies provided the first experimental evidence the class A PBPs function outside the cytoskeletal machinery and may play a role in cell wall quality control. The PG synthesis enzymes associated with the Rod complex or the divisome move directionally around the cell body (22–27, 99) and insert “hoops” of PG oriented roughly perpendicular to the long axis of the cell (100, 101). In contrast, class A PBPs in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* do not exhibit ballistic motion. Instead, individual molecules transition between diffusive and immobile states, which represent inactive and active enzymes, respectively (11, 102). Moreover, unlike the Rod system and divisome, active class A PBPs insert disordered PG material throughout the cell body (11, 95, 100, 101). Based on these data, it was proposed the class A PBPs fill in the gaps within the PG scaffold synthesized by the Rod system and divisome (2, 11). Analogous to building a house, the Rod system and divisome assemble the framework that supports its structure and provides its shape, whereas the class A PBPs fill in the gaps to insulate and seal the structure off from the environment.

Additional data indicate that PBP1b responds to changes in PG integrity in *E. coli*. Just as more material is required to fill a more open framework, greater PBP1b activity is required in response to an increase in number or size of gaps within the PG matrix (Fig. 2). Reductions in PG density through PG precursor depletion increase the immobile (active) fraction of PBP1b (95). Likewise, overproduction of the *pp*-endopeptidase MepS—whose activity increases the rate of cell surface expansion (38)—stimulates PBP1b activity when other PG synthases are inactivated (96). Further consistent with a role in PG repair, PBP1b is required for efficient recovery from damaging agents. Mutants defective for PBP1b exhibited a reduced ability to recover from precursor depletion compared to the parental strain (95). Moreover, loss of PBP1b or its cofactor LpoB render cells hypersensitive to β-lactam treatment (13, 103), mechanical stress (98), and outer membrane damage (33).

**Localization determinants of PBP1b.** How does PBP1b recognize gaps in a macromolecule considerably larger in size? One possibility is that class A PBP enzymes exist in multicomponent protein complexes composed of cell wall synthases and autolysins (60, 104). Direct interactions between two classes of enzymes would ensure that their activities are coupled and spatially restricted to regions of cell wall growth. Consistent with this model, the outer membrane lipoprotein NlpI scaffolds several endopeptidases and PBP1a (105). It is unknown, however, whether direct interactions with autolysins are required for synthase activity *in vivo*, at least in the case of PBP1b (96). Moreover, it is not clear how complex formation would assist in PBP1b recognition and repair of gaps in the PG matrix unrelated to activity such as during PG precursor depletion or upon exogenous cell wall damage (95).
Alternatively, PBP1b may recognize sites of cell wall damage directly, as has been shown for the *E. coli* Rod system transpeptidase PBP2 (106), or through interaction with its cognate outer membrane lipoprotein activator LpoB. Structural analysis of LpoB suggests a potential mechanism for “sensing” and recruiting PBP1b to cell wall pores. LpoB possesses a long, intrinsically disordered N-terminal linker connected to the C-terminal globular domain, which interacts with and activates PBP1b (107–110). Conformational heterogeneity of the linker may permit extension into PG gaps and temporarily trap the globular domain, thereby enriching PBP1b activity at porous regions in the PG (2, 12). Consistent with this model, LpoB is required for efficient PBP1b immobilization and survival during recovery from cell wall stress (95) (Fig. 2).

**Do other class A penicillin binding proteins promote peptidoglycan quality control?** While these data support a role for PBP1b in closing gaps in the PG, it remains unclear whether PBP1a also plays a role in *E. coli* cell wall quality control. Unlike PBP1b, loss of PBP1a does not sensitize cells to β-lactam antibiotics or mechanical damage in otherwise wild-type cells (13, 98, 103). Furthermore, excess PBP1a production cannot maintain normal mechanical integrity of the cell wall in the absence of PBP1b (95, 98). Altogether, these data suggest while PBP1a and PBP1b have overlapping roles in exponential growth, PBP1b may have a unique function in cell wall quality control. It is tempting to speculate that this difference may result in part from structural differences between their cognate outer membrane lipoprotein activators. Unlike LpoB, LpoA possesses lower interdomain flexibility and exists solely in an extended conformation (111).

Whether class A PBPs play a conserved role in cell wall quality control outside *E. coli* also remains unknown. While class A PBPs are broadly conserved in nearly all bacteria with a cell wall, PBP1b homologs have been lost in some lineages such as the beta- and alphaproteobacteria (12), suggesting the existence of alternative quality control systems in these organisms. LpoB conservation is even more limited: LpoB homologs are found only in a subset of gammaproteobacteria (12, 112). Interestingly, unique class A PBP cofactors have evolved independently in some Gram-negative and actinobacteria (112, 113), some of which also possess intrinsically disordered linkers (114). Mechanisms of cell wall quality control are equally, if not more, mysterious in Gram-positive bacteria. Class A PBP activity is not strictly essential in several Gram-positive bacteria (115, 116), and in *B. subtilis*, these enzymes play roles in width control not shared by their *E. coli* counterparts (95, 101).

**Additional factors implicated in *E. coli* cell wall quality control.** Several additional enzymes have been implicated in *E. coli* PG repair, including the LD-transpeptidase LdtD and the carboxypeptidases PBP5 and PBP6a (33). Morè et al. determined that genes encoding all three enzymes and PBP1b are upregulated in response to outer membrane stress induced by depletion of an essential component of the LPS transport machinery (33, 117). Consistent with a role in maintaining PG integrity, mutants defective for any of these enzymes are unable to survive LPS depletion, eventually forming membrane bulges before lysing altogether. Only the glycosyltransferase activity of PBP1b is required to protect cells from lysis (33). These data suggest a model in which PBPS or PBP6b trims the peptide stems on the nascent glycan strands synthesized by PBP1b, thereby providing a substrate for transpeptidation and the formation of 3-3 cross-links by LdtD. The authors propose that these enzymes function together as a PG repair complex, required to fill gaps in the sacculus caused by loss of the envelope spanning LPS transport machinery. It remains unclear whether PBP1b, LdtD, PBPS, and PBP6a play a conserved role in cell wall repair or whether their activity is specific to damage incurred during outer membrane stress. With the exception of PBPS, loss of either PBP6a or LdtD does not sensitize cells to β-lactam antibiotics or mechanical damage (98, 103).

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Like other essential cellular processes, PG metabolism must remain robust in response to exogenous and endogenous stressors to preserve cell viability. Plasticity with...
the active repertoire of PG synthases, autolysins, and regulators ensures continuity of PG metabolism even in unfavorable growth conditions. PG quality control enzymes repair lesions in the cell’s protective armor in response to acute damaging agents. At the cell surface, these processes represent attractive therapeutic targets. Likewise, the development of condition-specific therapeutics may increase efficient clearance of bacterial infections in particular host niches, while sparing members of the normal flora. Future studies on robustness in PG metabolism in other bacterial pathogens and nonmodel organisms with disparate shapes, sizes, and growth and developmental patterns promise to illuminate additional interesting biology and therapeutic targets. We anticipate that the mechanisms bacteria use to fortify and repair their cell wall are as diverse as the environments they inhabit.
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